arXiv:1601.02840v1 [math.AP] 12 Jan 2016

THE GRADIENT FLOW OF O'HARA'S KNOT ENERGIES

SIMON BLATT

Abstract. Jun O'Hara invented a family of knot energies $E^{j,p}$, $j,p \in (0,\infty)$, in [O'H92]. We study the negative gradient flow of the sum of one of the energies $E^\alpha = E^{\alpha,1}$, $\alpha \in (2,3)$, and a positive multiple of the length.

Showing that the gradients of these knot energies can be written as the normal part of a quasilinear operator, we derive short time existence results for these flows. We then prove long time existence and convergence to critical points.

1. Introduction

Is there an optimal way to tie a knot in Euclidean space? And if so, how nice are these optimal shapes? Is there a natural way to transform a given knot into this optimal shape?

To give a precise meaning to such questions a variety of energies for immersions have been invented and studied during the last twenty five years which are subsumed under the term knot energies.

In this article we deal with the third of the questions above. But first of all, let us gather some known answers to the first two questions.

The first family of geometric knot energies goes back to O'Hara. In [O'H92], O'Hara suggested for $j,p \in (0,\infty)$ the energy

$$E^{j,p}(c) = \iint\limits_{(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z})^2} \left(\frac{1}{|c(x)-c(y)|^j} - \frac{1}{d_c(x,y)^j} \right)^p |c'(x)| \cdot |c'(y)| dx dy$$

of a regular closed curve $c \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Here, $d_c(x,y)$ denotes the distance of the points x and y along the curve c, i.e., the length of the shorter arc connecting these two points.

O'Hara observed that these energies are knot energies if and only if $jp > 2$ [O'H92, Theorem 1.9] in the sense that then both pull-tight of a knot and self-intersections are punished. Furthermore, he showed that minimizers of the energies exist within every knot class if $jp > 2$. So: Yes, there is an optimal way to tie a knot – actually even several ways to do so.

Abrams et al proved in [ACF+03] that for $p \geq 1$ and $jp - 1 < 2p$ these energies are minimized by circles and that these energies are infinite for every closed regular curve if $jp - 1 \geq 2p$.[1]

There is a reason why for the rest of our questions we will only consider the case $p = 1$: For $p \neq 1$, we expect that the first variation of $E^{j,p}$ leads to a *degenerate* elliptic operator of fractional order – even after breaking the symmetry of the equation coming from the invariance under re-parameterizations. We will only

Date: January 13, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C44, 35S10.

[1] In fact one can even show that for $jp - 1 \geq 2p$ the energy is only finite for open curves that are part of a straight line - in which case the energy is 0 (cf. [BR15, Remark 1.3]).

consider the non-degenerate case $p = 1$ and look at the one-parameter family

$$E^\alpha(c) := E^{\alpha,1}(c) = \iint\limits_{\mathbb{R}/L\mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{|c(x) - c(y)|^\alpha} - \frac{1}{d_c(x,y)^\alpha} \right) |c'(x)|\,|c'(y)| dx dy. \tag{1.1}$$

We leave the case $p \neq 1$ for a later study.

The most prominent member of this family is E^2 which is also known as Möbius energy due to the fact that it is invariant under Möbius transformations. While for $\alpha \in (2,3)$ the Euler-Lagrange equation is a non-degenerate elliptic sub-critical operator it is a critical equation for the case of the Möbius energy E^2.

In [FHW94], Freedman, He, and Wang showed that even E^2 can be minimized within every prime knot class. Whether or not the same is true for composite knot classes is an open problem, though there are some clues that this might not be the case in very such knot class. Furthermore, they derived a formula for the L^2-gradient of the Möbius energy which was extended by Reiter in [Rei12] to the energies E^α for $\alpha \in [2,3)$. They showed that the first variation of these functionals can be given by

$$\nabla_h E^\alpha(c) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{E^\alpha(c + \varepsilon h) - E^\alpha(c)}{h} = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \langle H^\alpha(c)(x), h(x) \rangle \cdot |c'(x)| dx$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H^\alpha(c)(x) &\\ &:= p.v. \int\limits_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} P^\perp_{c'(x)} \left\{ 2\alpha \frac{c(x+w) - c(x)}{|c(x+w) - c(x)|^{2+\alpha}} - (\alpha - 2) \frac{\kappa(x)}{d_c(x+w,x)^\alpha} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - 2 \frac{\kappa(x)}{|c(x+w) - c(x)|^\alpha} \right\} |c'(x+w)| dw. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Here, $P^\perp_{c'}(u) = u - \langle u, \frac{c'}{|c'|} \rangle \frac{c'}{|c'|}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the normal part, and $p.v \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{[-l/2, l/2] \setminus [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]}$ denotes Cauchy's principal value.

In the case that c is parameterized by arc length this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} H^\alpha(c)(x) &\\ &:= p.v. \int\limits_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} P^\perp_{c'(x)} \left\{ 2\alpha \frac{c(s+w) - c(s)}{|c(s+w) - c(s)|^{2+\alpha}} - (\alpha - 2) \frac{c''(s)}{|w|^\alpha} \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - 2 \frac{c''(s)}{|c(s+w) - c(s)|^\alpha} \right\} dw. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Using the Möbius invariance of E^2, Freedman, He, and Wang showed that local minimizers of the Möbius energy are of class $C^{1,1}$ [FHW94] – and thus gave a first answer to the question about the niceness of the optimal shapes. Zheng-Xu He combined this with a sophisticated bootstrapping argument to find that minimizers of the Möbius energy are of class C^∞ [He00]. Reiter could prove that critical points c of E^α, $\alpha \in (2,3)$ with $\kappa \in L^\alpha$ are smooth embedded curves [Rei12], a result we extended to critical points of finite energy in [BR13] and to the Möbius energy in [BRS15].

Let us now turn to the last of the three questions we started this article with: Is there a natural way to transform a given knot into its optimal shape? Since E^α is not scaling invariant for $\alpha \in (2,3)$, the L^2-gradient flow of E^α alone cannot have

a nice asymptotic behavior. We want to avoid that the curve would get larger and larger in order to decrease the energy. Here, the length $L(c)$ of the curve c will help us.

To transform a given knotted curve into a nice representative, we will look at the L^2-gradient flow of $E = E^\alpha + \lambda L$ for $\alpha \in (2,3)$ and $\lambda > 0$ instead. This leads to the evolution equation

$$\partial_t c = -H^\alpha(c) + \lambda \kappa_c. \tag{1.4}$$

We will see that the right hand side of this equation can be written as the normal part of a quasilinear elliptic but non-local operator of order $\alpha + 1 \in [3,4)$.

The main result of this article is the following theorem. Roughly speaking, it tells us that, given an initial regular embedded curve of class C^∞, there exists a unique solution to the above evolution equations. This solution is immortal and converges to a critical point. More precisely we have:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\alpha \in (2,3)$ and $c_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an injective regular curve. Then there is a unique smooth solution*

$$c \in C^\infty([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$$

to (1.4) with initial data $c(0) = c_0$ that after suitable re-parameterizations converges smoothly to a critical point of $E^\alpha + \lambda L$.

Lin and Schwetlick showed similar results for the elastic energy plus some positive multiple of the Möbius energy and the length [LS10]. They succeeded in treating the term in the L^2-gradient coming from the Möbius energy as a lower order perturbation of the gradient of the elastic energy of curves. This allowed them to carry over the analysis due to Dziuk, Kuwert and Schätzle of the latter flow [DKS02]. They proved long time existence for their flow and sub-convergence to a critical point up to re-parameterizations and translations.

The situation is quite different in the case we treat in this article. We have to understand the gradient of O'Hara's energies in a much more detailed way and have to use sharper estimates than in the work of Lin and Schwetlick. Furthermore, in contrast to Lin and Schwetlick we show that the complete flow, without going to a subsequence and applying suitable translations, converges to a critical point of our energy.

We want to conclude this introduction with an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 2, we prove short time existence results of these flow for initial data in little Hölder spaces and smooth dependence on the initial data. To do that, we show that the gradient of E^α is the normal part of an abstract quasilinear differential operator of fractional order (cf. Theorem 2.3). Combining Banach's fixed-point theorem with a maximal regularity result for the linearized equation, we get existence for a short amount of time. In order to keep this article as easily accessible as possible, we give a detailed prove of the necessary maximal regularity result.

The most important ingredient to the proof of long time existence in Section 3.4 is a strengthening of the classification of curves of finite energy E^α in [Bla12a] using fractional Sobolev spaces. For $s \in (0,1)$, $p \in [1,\infty)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the space $W^{k+s,p}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all functions $f \in W^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$|f^k|_{W^{s,p}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{1+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite. This space is equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{W^{k+s,p}} := \|f\|_{W^{k,p}} + |f^{(k)}|_{W^{s,p}}$. For a thorough discussion of the subject of fractional Sobolev space we point the

reader to the monograph of Triebel [Tri83]. Chapter 7 of [AF03] and the very nicely written and easy accessible introduction to the subject [DNPV12].

We know that a curve parameterized by arc length has finite energy E^α if and only if it is bi-Lipschitz and belongs to the space $W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}$. In Theorem 3.2 we show that even

$$|\gamma'|_{W^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2},2}} \leq CE^\alpha$$

and hence the $W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}$-norm of the flow is uniformly bounded in time.

We then derive the evolution equation of

$$\mathcal{E}^k = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_s^k \kappa|^2 |c'(x)| dx. \tag{1.5}$$

where κ denotes the curvature of the curve c and $\partial_s := \frac{\partial_x}{|c'(x)|}$ is the derivative with respect to the arc length parameter s. Note that in contrast to previous works like the work due to Dziuk, Kuwert, and Schätzle on elastic flow or the work due to Lin and Schwetlick on the gradient flow of the elastic plus a positive multiple of the Möbius energy, we do not consider the normal derivatives of the curvature but the full derivatives with respect to arc length.

Using Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities for Besov spaces, which quite naturally appear during the calculation, together with commutator estimates we can then show that also the $\mathcal{E}^k$ are bounded uniformly in time. By standard arguments this will lead to long time existence and smooth subconvergence to a critical point after suitable translations and re-parameterization of the curves.

To get the full statement, we study the behavior of solutions near such critical points using a Łojasievicz-Simon gradient estimate. This allows us to show that flows starting close enough to a critical point and remaining above this critical point in the sense of the energy, exist for all time and converge to critical points. More precisely we have:

Theorem 1.2 (Long time existence above critical points)**.** *Let $c_M \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a critical point of the energy $E = E^\alpha + \lambda L$, $\alpha \in [2,3)$, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, and $\beta > \alpha$. Then there is a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following is true:*

Suppose that $(c_t)_{t\in[0,T)}$ is a maximal solution of the gradient flow of the energy E_λ for $\lambda > 0$ with smooth initial data satisfying

$$\|c_0 - c_M\|_{C^\beta} \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$E(c_t) \geq E(c_M)$$

whenever there is a diffeomorphism $\phi_t : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\|c_t \circ \phi_t - c_M\|_{C^\beta} \leq \delta$. Then the flow $(c_t)_t$ exists for all times and converges, after suitable re-parameterizations, smoothly to a critical point c_∞ of E_λ satisfying

$$E(c_\infty) = E(c_M).$$

This shows that in the situation of Theorem 3.1 the complete solution converges to a critical point of $E^\alpha + \lambda L$ – even without applying any translations or re-parameterizations.

2. Short Time Existence

This section is devoted to an almost self-contained proof of short time existence for equation (1.4). We will show that for all $c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ a solution exists for some time.

For any space $X \subset C^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ we will denote by X_{ir} the (open) subspace consisting of all injective (embedded) and regular curves in X.

Theorem 2.1 (Short time existence for smooth data)**.** *Let $c_0 \in C^\infty_{ir}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists some $T = T(c_0) > 0$ and a unique solution*

$$c \in C^\infty([0,T) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

of (1.4)*.*

We can strengthen this result above. In fact, we can reduce the regularity of the initial curve even below the level where our evolution equation makes sense. We still can prove existence and in a sense also uniqueness of a family of curves with normal velocity given by the gradient of $E^\alpha + \lambda L$. For this purpose we will work in little Hölder spaces h^β, $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, which are the completion of C^∞ with respect to the C^β-norm.

Theorem 2.2 (Short time existence for non-smooth data)**.** *For $\alpha \in (2,3)$ let $c_0 \in h^\beta_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\beta > \alpha$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a constant $T > 0$ and a re-parameterization $\phi \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ such that there is a solution*

$$c \in C([0,T), h^\beta_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1((0,T), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n))$$

of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\perp c = -H(c) + \lambda \kappa_c & \forall t \in [0,T], \\ c(0) = c_0 \circ \phi. \end{cases}$$

This solution is unique in the sense that for each other solution

$$\tilde{c} \in C([0,\tilde{T}), h^\beta_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1((0,\tilde{T}), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n))$$

and all $t \in (0, \min(T, \tilde{T})]$ there is a smooth diffeomorphism $\phi_t \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$c(t, \cdot) = \tilde{c}(t, \phi_t(\cdot)).$$

As in the special case of the Möbius energy dealt with in [Bla12b], these results are based on the fact that the functional H^α possesses a quasilinear structure – a statement that will be proven in the next subsection. After that we build a short time existence theory for the linearization of these equations from scratch and prove a maximal regularity result for this equation.

To a get a solution of the evolution equation (1.4), we first have to break the symmetry that comes from the invariance of the equation under re-parameterizations. We do this by writing the time dependent family of curves c as a normal graph over some fixed smooth curve c_0. Applying Banach's fixed-point theorem as done in [Ang90] for nonlinear and quasilinear semiflows, we get short time existence for the evolution equation of the normal graphs and and continuous dependence of the solution on the initial data. A standard re-parameterization then gives Theorem 2.1 while Theorem 2.2 is obtained via an approximation argument.

2.1. **Quasilinear Structure of the Gradient.** By exchanging every appearance of $|c(u+w) - c(u)|$ and $d_c(u+w,u)$ by their first order Taylor expansion $|c'(u)||w|$ and $|c'(u+w)|$ by $|c'(u)|$ in the formula for $\tilde{H}^\alpha$, we are led to the conjecture that the leading order term of $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ is the normal part of $\frac{\alpha}{|c'|^{\alpha+1}} Q^\alpha(c)$ where

$$Q^\alpha(c) := p.v. \int_{[-l,l]} \left(2 \frac{c(u+w) - c(u) - wc'(u)}{w^2} - c''(u) \right) \frac{dw}{|w|^\alpha}.$$

This heuristic can be made rigorous using Taylor's expansions of the error terms and estimates for multilinear Hilbertransforms (cf. Lemma B.2) leading to the next theorem. It will be essential later on that the remainder term is an analytic operator between certain function spaces – which we denote by C^ω.

Theorem 2.3 (Quasilinear structure)**.** *For $\alpha \in (2,3)$ there is a mapping*

$$F^\alpha \in \bigcap_{\beta>0} C^\omega(C^{\alpha+\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n), C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$$

such that

$$H^\alpha c = \frac{\alpha}{|c'|^{\alpha+1}} P^\perp_{c'}(Q^\alpha c) + F^\alpha c$$

for all $c \in H^{\alpha+1}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. It is enough to show that there is a mapping

$$\tilde F^\alpha \in \bigcap_{\beta>0} C^\omega(C^{\alpha+\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n), C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$$

such that

$$\tilde H^\alpha c = \frac{\alpha}{|c'|^{\alpha+1}} Q^\alpha c + \tilde F^\alpha c$$

for all $c \in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

(2.1)

$$\tilde H^\alpha c = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{w\in I_{\epsilon,l}} \Big\{ 2\alpha \frac{c(x+w)-c(x)-wc'(s)}{|c(x+w)-c(x)|^{2+\alpha}} - (\alpha-2)\frac{c''(x)}{|c'(x)|^2 d_c(x+w,x)^\alpha} - 2\frac{c''(x)}{|c'|^2|c(x+w)-c(x)|^\alpha}\Big\} |c'(x+w)|dw.$$

The theorem then follows easily using $H^\alpha c = P^\perp_{c'}\tilde H^\alpha = \tilde H^\alpha - \langle H^\alpha, \frac{c'}{|c'|}\rangle \frac{c'}{|c'|}$.

We decompose

$$\tilde H^\alpha c = \frac{\alpha}{|c'|^{\alpha+1}} Q^\alpha c + 2\alpha R^\alpha_1 c - (\alpha-2)R^\alpha_2 c - 2R^\alpha_3 c + \alpha R^\alpha_4 c \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$(R^\alpha_1 c)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} (c(x+w)-c(x)-wc'(x)) \left(\frac{1}{|c(x+w)-c(x)|^{\alpha+2}} - \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^{\alpha+2}|w|^{\alpha+2}}\right)|c'(x+w)|dw,$$

$$(R^\alpha_2 c)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \frac{c''(x)}{|c'(x)|^2}\left(\frac{1}{d_c(x+w,x)^\alpha} - \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^\alpha w^\alpha)}\right)|c'(x+w)|dw,$$

$$(R^\alpha_3 c)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \frac{c''(x)}{|c'(x)|^2}\left(\frac{1}{|c(x+w)-c(x)|^\alpha} - \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^\alpha w^\alpha)}\right)|c'(x+w)|dw,$$

$$(R^\alpha_4 c)(x) := \frac{1}{|c'|^{\alpha+2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \left(2\frac{c(x+w)-c(x)-wc'(x)}{w^2} - c''(x)\right)\frac{|c'(x+w)|-|c'(x)|}{|w|^\alpha}dw.$$

Using Taylor's expansion up to first order, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_c(x+w,w) &= wc'(x) + w^2 \int_0^1 \left\langle \frac{c'(u+\tau w)}{|c'(u+\tau w)|}, c''(u+\tau w)\right\rangle d\tau \\ &= wc'(x)(1+w\tilde X_c(x,w)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde X_c(x,w) := \frac{1}{|c'|}\int_0^1 \left\langle \frac{c'(u+\tau w)}{|c'(u+\tau w)|}, c''(u+\tau w)\right\rangle d\tau,$$

and

$$|c(x+w)-c(x)|^2 = \left| wc'(u) + w^2 \int_0^1 c''(u+\tau w)d\tau \right|^2 = w^2|c'(x)|(1+wX_c(x,w))$$

where

$$X_c(x,w) := \frac{1}{|c'|^2}\left(c'(u)\int_0^1 c''(u+\tau w)d\tau + w\left(\int_0^1 c''(u+\tau w)d\tau\right)^2\right).$$

Together with the Taylor expansion

$$|1+x|^{-\sigma} = 1-\sigma x + \sigma(\sigma+1)x^2\int_0^1 (1-\tau)|1+\tau x|^{-\sigma-2}d\tau$$

for $\sigma > 0$ and $x > -1$, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|c(x+w)-c(x)|^\sigma} - \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^\sigma|w|^\sigma} = \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^\sigma|w|^\sigma}\left((1+X_c(x,w))^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}-1\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^\sigma|w|^\sigma}\Bigg(-\frac{\sigma}{2}wX_c(x,w)\\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{\sigma}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}+1\right)w^2X_c(u,w)^2\int_0^1(1+\tau wX_c(x,w))^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}-2}d\tau\Bigg)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{d(x+w,x)^\sigma} - \frac{1}{|c'(x)|^\sigma|w|^\sigma}\\
&= \frac{1}{|c'(u)|^\sigma|w|^\sigma}\left(-\sigma w\tilde{X}_c(x,w) + \sigma(\sigma+1)w^2\tilde{X}_c(u,w)^2\int_0^1(1+\tau w\tilde{X})^{-\sigma-2}d\tau\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we will use the identities

$$c(x+w)-c(x)-wc'(x) = w^2\int_0^1(1-\tau)c''(x+\tau w)d\tau$$

and

$$|c'(x+w)|-|c'(x)| = w\int_0^1\left\langle\frac{c'(x+\tau w)}{|c'(x+\tau w|}, c''(x+\tau w)\right\rangle d\tau.$$

Plugging these formulas into the expressions for the terms $R_1^\alpha, R_2^\alpha, R_3^\alpha, R_4^\alpha$ and factoring out, we see that they can be written as the sum of integrals as in the following Lemma 2.4. Hence, Lemma 2.4 completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. *For $\tilde{l}_1 \le l_1$, $\tilde{l}_2 \le l_2$, and $\tilde{l}_3 \le l_3$ and a multilinear operator M let*

$$\begin{aligned}
I := \int_{[0,1]^{\tilde{l}_1+\tilde{l}_2+\tilde{l}_3}} &M(c''(x+\tau_1 w),\dots,c''(x+\tau_{l_1}w),c'(x+\tau_{l_1+1}),\dots,c'(x+\tau_{l_1+l_2}w),\\
&\frac{c'(x+\tau_{l_1+l_2+1}w)}{|c'(x+\tau_{l_1+l_2+1}w)|},\dots,\frac{c'(x+\tau_{l_1+l_2+l_3}w)}{|c'(x+\tau_{l_1+l_2+l_3})|}\Bigg)\\
&d\tau_1\cdots d\tau_{\tilde{l}_1}d\tau_{l_1+1}\cdots d\tau_{l_1+\tilde{l}_2}d\tau_{l_1+l_2+1}\cdots d\tau_{l_1+l_2+\tilde{l}_3},
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. we integrate over some of the τ_i but not over all. Then for $\tilde\alpha \in (0,1)$ the functionals

$$\tilde T_1(c)(x) := \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{I}{w|w|^{\tilde\alpha}} dw,$$

$$\tilde T_2(c)(x) := \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{I(\int_0^1 (1+\tau w \tilde X(x,w))^{-\sigma}) d\tau}{|w|^{\tilde\alpha}} dw,$$

$$T_1(c)(x) := \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{I}{w|w|^{\tilde\alpha}} dw,$$

and

$$T_2(c)(x) := \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \frac{I(\int_0^1 (1+\tau w X(x,w))^{-\sigma}) d\tau}{|w|^{\tilde\alpha}} dw$$

are analytic from $C^{\beta+\tilde\alpha+2}$ to C^β for all $\beta > 0$.

Proof. The statement of the lemma for $\tilde T_1$ and T_1 follows immediately from the boundedness of the multilinear Hilberttransform in Hölderspaces as stated in Remark B.3 combined with the Lemmata A.2 and A.3.

Using a similar argument, one deduces that $c \to 1 + \tau X_c$ is analytic, hence we get that for a given c_0 there is a neighborhood U such that

$$\|D_c^m (1+\tau X_c(\cdot, w)\|_{L(C^{\beta+2}, C^\beta)} \le Cm!$$

for all $c \in U$ where C does not depend on w and τ. Using that $v \to |v|^\sigma$ is analytic away from 0, we deduce that

$$\|D_c^m (1+\tau X_c(\cdot, w)^\sigma\|_{L(C^{\beta+2}, C^\beta)} \le Cm!$$

using Lemma A.2. Hence, the integrands in the definitions of T_2 and $\tilde T_2$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma A.3. Hence, T_2 and $\tilde T_2$ are even analytic operators from $C^{\beta+2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

□

2.2. **Short Time Existence.** Using the quasilinear form of $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$, we derive short time existence results for the gradient flow of O'Hara's energies in this section. For this task, we will work with families of curves that are normal graphs over a fixed smooth curve c_0 and whose normal part belongs to a small neighborhood of 0 in h^β, $\beta > \alpha$.

To describe these neighborhoods, note that there is a strictly positive, lower semi-continuous function $r : C^2_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n) \to (0, \infty)$ such that

$$c + \{N \in C^1(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_0} : \|N\|_{C^1} < r(c)\}$$

only contains regular embedded curves for all $c \in C^1_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$r(c) \le 1/2 \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |c'(x)|. \tag{2.3}$$

Here, $C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_c$ denotes the space of all vector fields $N \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which are normal to c, i.e. for which $\langle c'(u), N(u)\rangle = 0$ for all $u \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Letting

$$\mathcal{V}_{r,\beta}(c) := \{N \in h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_c : \|N\|_{C^1} < r(c)\}$$

we have for all $c \in h^\beta_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$c + \mathcal{V}_{r,\beta}(c) \subset h^\beta_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{2.4}$$

Let $N \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\beta}(c)$. Equation (2.3) guarantees that $P^\perp_{(c+N)'(u)}$ is an isomorphism from the normal space along c at u to the normal space along $c+N$. Otherwise there would be a $v \neq 0$ in the normal space of c at u such that

$$0 = P^\perp_{(c+N)'(u)}(v) = v - \left\langle v, \frac{(c+N)'(u)}{|(c+N)'(u)|} \right\rangle \frac{(c+N)'(u)}{|(c+N)'(u)|}$$

which would contradict

$$\left| v - \left\langle v, \frac{(c+N)'(u)}{|(c+N)'(u)|} \right\rangle \frac{(c+N)'(u)}{|(c+N)'(u)|} \right| \geq |v| - \left| \left\langle v, \frac{N'(u)}{|(c+N)'(u)|} \right\rangle | \right| \geq |v|/2 > 0.$$

For $c \in C^1((0,T), C^1_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$ we denote by

$$\partial_t^\perp c = P^\perp_{c'}(\partial_t c)$$

the normal velocity of the family of curves.

We prove the following strengthened version of the short time existence result mentioned at the beginning of Section 2.

Theorem 2.5 (Short time existence for normal graphs)**.** *Let $c_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an embedded regular curve, $\alpha \in (2,3)$, and $\beta > \alpha$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $N_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{r,\beta}(c_0)$ there is a constant $T = T(N_0) > 0$ and a neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{V}_{r,\beta}$ of N_0 such that for every $\tilde{N}_0 \in U$ there is a unique solution $N_{\tilde{N}_0} \in C([0,T), h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\perp_{c_0}) \cap C^1((0,T), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^\perp_{c_0})$ of*

$$\begin{cases} \{\partial_t^\perp(c_0+N) = -H^\alpha(c_0+N) + \lambda\kappa_{c_0+N} \quad t \in [0,T], \\ N(0) = \tilde{N}_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

Furthermore, the flow $(\tilde{N}_0, t) \mapsto N_{\tilde{N}_0}(t)$ is in $C^1((U \times (0,T)), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}))$.

The proof of Theorem 2.5 consists of two steps. First we show that (2.5) can be transformed into an abstract quasilinear system of parabolic type. The second step is to establish short time existence results for the resulting equation.

The second step can be done using general results about analytic semigroups, regularity of pseudo-differential operators with rough symbols [Bou88], and the short time existence results for quasilinear equations in [Ang90] or [Ama93]. Furthermore, we need continuous dependence of the solution on the data and smoothing effects in order to derive the long time existence results in Section 4.

For the convenience of the reader, we go a different way here and present a self-contained proof of the short time existence that only relies on a characterization of the little Hölder spaces as trace spaces. In Subsection 2.2.1, we deduce a maximal regularity result for solutions of linear equations of type $\partial_t u + a(t)Qu + b(t)u = f$ in little Hölder spaces using heat kernel estimates. Following ideas from [Ang90], we then prove short time existence and differentiable dependence on the data for the quasilinear equation.

2.2.1. *The Linear Equation.* We will derive a priori estimates and existence results for linear equations of the type

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + aQ^s u + bu = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times (0,T) \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

using little Hölder spaces, where $b(t) \in L(h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n), C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $a(t) \in h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},(0,\infty))$.

For $\theta \in (0,1)$, $\beta > 0$, and $T > 0$ we will consider solutions that lie in the space

$$X_T^{\theta,\beta} := \Big\{ g \in C((0,T), h^{\beta+s}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^1((0,T), h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n) : \\ \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\theta} \left(\|\partial_t g(t)\|_{C^\beta} + \|g(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}} \right) < \infty \Big\}$$

and equip this space with the norm

$$\|g\|_{X_T^{\theta,\beta}} := \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\theta} \left(\|\partial_t g(t)\|_{C^\beta} + \|g(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}} \right).$$

The right hand side f of our equation should then belong to the space

$$Y_T^{\theta,\beta} := \left\{ g \in C((0,T), h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)) : \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\theta} \|g(t)\|_{C^\beta} < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|g\|_{Y_T^{\theta,\beta}} := \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\theta} \|g(t)\|_{C^\beta}.$$

From the trace method in the theory of interpolation spaces (cf. [Lun95, Section 1.2.2]), the following relation of the space $X_{\theta,\beta}$ to the little Hölder space $h^{\beta+s\theta}$ is well known if $\beta + s\theta$ is not an integer:

If $u \in X_T^{\theta,\beta}$ then $u(t)$ converges in $h^{\beta+s\theta}$ to a function $u(0)$ as $t \searrow 0$ with

$$\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}} \le C \|u\|_{X_{\theta,\beta}^T}. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, for every $u_0 \in h^{\beta+s\theta}$ there is a $u \in X_T^{\theta,\beta}$ such that $u(t)$ converges in $h^{\beta+s\theta}$ to $u(0)$ for $t \to 0$ and

$$\|u\|_{X_{\theta,\beta}^T} \le \|u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}. \tag{2.7}$$

Given u_0 we will see that the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{s/2} u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \\ u = u_0 & \text{at } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

satisfies (2.7). The well-known embedding $X_T^{\theta,\beta} \subset C^\theta((0,T), C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n))$ will also be essential in the proof.

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following theorem about the solvability of our linear equation:

Theorem 2.6. *Let $T > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\theta \in (0,1)$ with $\beta + s\theta \notin \mathbb{N}$, and*

$$a \in C^1([0,T], h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, [1/\Lambda, \infty))), \quad b \in C^0((0,T), L(h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n), h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)))$$

with

$$\|a\|_{C^1([0,T],C^\beta)} + \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\theta} \|b(t)\|_{L(h^\beta,h^\beta)} < \infty$$

Then the mapping $J : u \mapsto (u(0), \partial_t u + aQ^{s-1}u + bu)$ defines an isomorphism between $X_T^{\theta,\beta}$ and $h^{\beta+\theta s}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n) \times Y_T^{\theta,\beta}$.

This will be enough to prove short time existence of a solution for some quasi-linear equations later on using Banach's fixed-point theorem.

Equation (2.6) already guarantees that J is a bounded linear operator. So we only have to prove that it is onto for which we will use some a priori results (also called maximal regularity results in this context) together with the method of continuity.

To derive these estimates, we will freeze the coefficients and use a priori estimates for $\partial_t u + \lambda(-\Delta)^{s/2}u = f$ on $\mathbb{R}$ where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. He observed in [He99], that the fractional Laplacian can be expressed by

$$c_s(-\Delta)^{s/2}u = \ p.v. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(2\frac{u(x+w)-u(x)-wu'(x)}{|w|^2} - u''(x)\right)\frac{dw}{|w|^{s-1}} \tag{2.8}$$

for a $c_s > 0$ for all $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will use this identity together with a localization argument to get from $(-\Delta)^{s/2}$ living on $\mathbb{R}$ back to our operator Q^s which lives on the circle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Note that the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{s/2}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is bounded from $C_0^{s+\beta}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $C^\beta(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\beta > 0$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider the heat kernel of the equation $\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{s/2}u = 0$ which is given by

$$G_t(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2\pi i k x} e^{-t|2\pi k|^s} dk. \tag{2.9}$$

for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $k \mapsto e^{-t|2\pi k|^s}$ is a Schwartz function, its inverse Fourier transform G_t is a Schwartz function as well. Furthermore, one easily sees using the Fourier transformation that

$$\partial_t G_t + (-\Delta)^{s/2}G_t = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{2.10}$$

The most important property for us is the scaling

$$G_t(x) = t^{-1/s}G_1(t^{-1/s}x), \tag{2.11}$$

from which we deduce

$$\partial_x^k G_t(x) = t^{-(1+k)/s}(\partial_x^k G_1)(t^{-1/s}x) \tag{2.12}$$

and hence

$$\|\partial_x^k G_t\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le C_k t^{-k/s}\|\partial_x^k G_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le C_k t^{-k/s}. \tag{2.13}$$

Combining these relations with standard interpolation techniques, we get the following estimates for the heat kernel

Lemma 2.7 (heat kernel estimates)**.** *For all $0 \le \beta_1 \le \beta_2$, and $T > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(\beta_1,\beta_2,T) < \infty$ such that*

$$\|G_t * f\|_{C^{\beta_2}} \le Ct^{-(\beta_2-\beta_1)/s}\|f\|_{C^{\beta_1}} \quad \forall f \in C^{\beta_1}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n), t \in (0,T].$$

Proof. Let $k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\tilde\beta_i \in [0,1)$ be such that $\beta_i = k_i + \tilde\beta_i$ for $i = 1,2$.

For $l > m$ and $\beta \in (0,1)$, we deduce from $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^{l-m}G_t(y)dy = 0$ and the fact that G_1 is a Schwartz function

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_x^l(G_t * f)(x)| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^{l-m}G_t(y)(\partial_x^m f(x-y) - \partial_x^m f(x))dy\right| \\
&\overset{(2.12)}{\le} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-(1+l-m)/s}|(\partial_x^{l-m}G_1)(y/t^{1/3})| \cdot |(\partial_x^m f(x-y) - \partial_x^m f(x))|dy \\
&\overset{z=y/t^{1/s}}{\le} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{-(l-m)/s}|(\partial_x^{l-m}G_1)(z)| \cdot |\partial_x^m f(x-t^{1/s}z) - \partial_x^m f(x))|dz \\
&\le t^{-(l-(m+\beta))/s}\,\mathrm{höl}_\beta(\partial_x^m f)\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\partial_x^{l-m}G_1)(z)||z|^\beta dz
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C(l,m,\beta)t^{-(l-(m+\beta))/s}\operatorname{höl}_\beta(\partial_x^m f).$$

For all $l \geq m$, $\beta \in (0,1)$ we have

$$\operatorname{höl}_\beta(\partial_x^l(G_t * f)) \leq C(l-m)t^{l-m}\operatorname{höl}_\beta(\partial_x^m f)$$

as for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\partial_x^l(G_t * f)(x_1) - \partial_x^l(G_t * f)(x_2)| \\
&\qquad = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x^{l-m} G_t(y)(\partial_x^m f(x_1 - y) - \partial_x^m f(x_2 - y))dy \right| \\
&\qquad \leq \|\partial_x^{l-m} G_t\|_{L^1} \operatorname{höl}_\beta(\partial_x^m f)|x_1 - x_2|^\beta \\
&\qquad \overset{(2.13)}{\leq} C(l-m)t^{-((l-m))/s}\operatorname{höl}_\beta(\partial_x^m f)|x_1 - x_2|^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we obtain for all $l \geq m$

$$\|\partial_x^l(G_t * f)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(l-m)t^{-(l-m)/s}\|\partial_x^m f\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Combining these three estimates, we get

$$\|G_t * f\|_{C^{k_2+\tilde\beta_1}} \leq Ct^{-(k_2-k_1)/s}\|f\|_{C^{k_1+\tilde\beta_1}}, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\|G_t * f\|_{C^{k_2+1}} \leq Ct^{-((k_2+1)-(k_1+\tilde\beta_1)/s}\|f\|_{C^{k_1+\tilde\beta_1}}, \tag{2.15}$$

and if $k_2 > k_1$

$$\|G_t * f\|_{C^{k_2}} \leq Ct^{-(k_2-(k_1+\tilde\beta_1))/s}\|f\|_{C^{k_1+\tilde\beta_1}} \tag{2.16}$$

Furthermore, we will use that for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\alpha \neq \gamma$, and $f \in C^\gamma$ we have the interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{C^\beta} \leq 2\|f\|_{C^\gamma}^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\gamma-\alpha}}\|f\|_{C^\alpha}^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\gamma-\alpha}}. \tag{2.17}$$

For $\tilde\beta_2 \geq \tilde\beta_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_t * f\|_{C^{k_2+\tilde\beta_2}} &\leq C\left(\|\partial_x^{k_2}(G_t * f)\|_{C^{\tilde\beta_2}} + \|G_t * f\|_{L^\infty}\right) \\
&\overset{(2.17)}{\leq} C(\|\partial_x^{k_2}(G_t * f)\|_{C^{\tilde\beta_1}}^{\frac{1-\tilde\beta_2}{1-\tilde\beta_1}}\|\partial_x^{k_2+1}(G_t * f)\|_{C^0}^{\frac{\tilde\beta_2-\tilde\beta_1}{1-\tilde\beta_1}} + \|f\|_{L^\infty}) \\
&\overset{(2.14)\&(2.15)}{\leq} C(t^{-(\beta_2-\beta_1)/s} + 1)\|f\|_{C^{\beta_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $\tilde\beta_1 > \tilde\beta_2$ and hence $k_1 < k_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G_t * f\|_{C^{k_2+\tilde\beta_2}} &\leq C\left(\|\partial_x^{k_2}(G_t * f)\|_{C^{\tilde\beta_2}} + \|G_t * f)|_{L^\infty}\right) \\
&\overset{(2.17)}{\leq} C(\|\partial_x^{k_2}(G_t * f)\|_{C^{\tilde\beta_1}}^{\frac{\tilde\beta_2}{\tilde\beta_1}}\|\partial_x^{k_2}(G_t * f)\|_{C^0}^{\frac{\tilde\beta_1-\tilde\beta_2}{\tilde\beta_1}} + \|G_t * f\|_{L^\infty}) \\
&\overset{(2.14)\&(2.16)}{\leq} C(t^{-(\beta_2-\beta_1)/s} + 1)\|f\|_{C^{\beta_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

To derive a representation formula for the solution of $\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{s/2}u = f$, we need the following simple fact

Lemma 2.8. *For all $t > 0$ we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_t(x)dx = 1.$$

Furthermore, for all $f \in h^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$G_t * f \xrightarrow{t\downarrow 0} f \quad \text{in } h^\beta(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. For $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ let $\hat{g}$ denote the Fourier transform of g.

For $t > 0$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we obtain from Lebesgue's theorem of dominated convergence

$$(G_t * f)^\wedge = e^{-t|2\pi\cdot|^s}\hat{f} \xrightarrow{t\downarrow 0} \hat{f} \quad \text{in } L^2.$$

Hence, Plancherel's formula shows

$$G_t * f \to f \quad \text{in } L^2.$$

Setting $f = \chi_{[-1,1]}$ and observing

$$\lim_{t\searrow 0}(G_t * f)(x) = \lim_{t\searrow 0} \int\limits_{[t^{-1/s}(x-1),t^{1/s}(x+1)]} G_1 dy = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} G_1 dy, \quad \forall x \in (-1,1),$$

we deduce that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}} G_1 dy = 1.$$

To prove the second part, let $f \in h^\beta(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$. From convergence results for smoothing kernels we get for all $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \|f - G_t * f\|_{C^\beta} &\le \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \|(f-\tilde{f}) - G_t * (f-\tilde{f})\|_{C^\beta} + \|\tilde{f} - G_t * \tilde{f}\|_{C^\beta} \\ &= \limsup_{t\downarrow 0} \|(f-\tilde{f}) - G_t * (f-\tilde{f})\|_{C^\beta} \\ &\overset{\text{Lemma 2.7}}{\le} C\|(f-\tilde{f})\|_{C^\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $h^\beta(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the closure of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ under $\|\cdot\|_{C^\beta}$, this proves the statement. □

Linking the heat kernel G_t to the evolution equation $\partial_t + \lambda(-\Delta)^{s/2} = f$ for constant $\lambda > 0$ we derive the following a priori estimates

Lemma 2.9 (Maximal regularity for constant coefficients)**.** *For all $\beta > 0$, $\theta \in (0,1)$ with $\beta + s\theta \notin \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < T < \infty$, $\lambda > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(\beta,\theta,T,\lambda)$ such that the following holds:*

Let $u \in C^1((0,T),h^\beta(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^0((0,T),h^{s+\beta}(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^0([0,T),h^{\beta+s\theta}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $u(t)$ has compact support for all $t \in (0,T)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}\left(\|\partial_t u\|_{C^\beta} + \|u\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\right) \\ \le C\left(\sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}\|\partial_t u + \lambda(-\Delta)^{s/2})u\|_{C^\beta} + \|u(0)\|_{h^{\beta+s\theta}}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. Setting $\tilde{u}(t,x) := u(t,\lambda^{1/s}x)$ and observing that $\partial_t\tilde{u}(x,t) + (-\Delta)^{s/2}\tilde{u}(x,t) = \partial_t u(t,\lambda^{1/s}x) + \lambda(-\Delta)^{s/2}u(t,\lambda^{1/s}x)$, one sees that it is enough to prove the lemma for $\lambda = 1$

To this end, we first show that Duhamel's formula

$$u(t,\cdot) = \int\limits_0^t G_{t-\tau} * f(\tau,\cdot)d\tau + G_t * u(0) \tag{2.19}$$

holds, where $f = \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{s/2}u$. For fixed $t > 0$ we decompose the integral in equation (2.19) into

$$I_\varepsilon := \int\limits_{t-\varepsilon}^t G_{t-\tau} * f(\tau,\cdot)dxd\tau$$

and

$$J_\varepsilon := \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{t-\tau} * f(\tau,\cdot) dx d\tau$$

and see that

$$\|I_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} \overset{Lemma\ 2.7}{\leq} C\varepsilon \sup_{\tau\in(t-\varepsilon,t)} \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} 0.$$

As our assumptions imply that $u(t) \in H^s(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$, we get, comparing the Fourier transform of both sides ,

$$\left(G_{t-\tau} * ((-\Delta)^{s/2} u(\tau,\cdot))\right)(x) = \left(((-\Delta)^{s/2} G_{t-\tau}) * u(\tau,\cdot)\right)(x). \tag{2.20}$$

Partial integration in time and equation (2.20) yields

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon &= \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{t-\tau} * \partial_t u(\tau,\cdot) d\tau + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} G_{t-\tau} * (-\Delta)^{s/2} u(\tau,\cdot) d\tau \\ &= G_\varepsilon * u(t-\varepsilon,\cdot) - G_t * u(0,\cdot) + \int_0^{t-\varepsilon} (\partial_\tau (G_{t-\tau}) + (-\Delta)^{s/2} G_{t-\tau}) * u d\tau \\ &\overset{(2.10)}{=} G_\varepsilon * u(t-\varepsilon,\cdot) - G_t * u(0,\cdot) \xrightarrow{Lemma\ 2.8} u(t,\cdot) - G_t * u(0,\cdot). \end{aligned}$$

in C^β as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. This proves Equation (2.19).

From Lemma 2.7 we get

$$\|G_t * u_0\|_{C^{s+\beta}} \leq C t^{\theta-1} \|u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}} \tag{2.21}$$

We decompose $v(t) := \int_0^t G_{t-\tau} * f(\tau,\cdot) d\tau = v_1(t) + v_2(t)$ where

$$v_1(t) = G_{t/2} * v(t/2), \qquad v_2(t) = \int_{\tau=t/2}^{t} G_{t-\tau} * f(\tau,\cdot) d\tau.$$

Then the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}}$ and the estimates for the heat kernel in Lemma 2.7 lead to

$$\|v_1(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}} \leq C(t/2)^{-1} \|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} \int_0^{t/2} \tau^{\theta-1} d\tau \leq C(t/2)^{\theta-1} \|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}}. \tag{2.22}$$

For $\xi > 0$ and $\eta \in (0,1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi^{1-\eta}(G_\xi * v_2(t))\|_{C^{2s+\beta-s\eta}} &= \left\| \xi^{1-\eta} \int_{t/2}^{t} (G_{t-\tau+\xi} * f) d\tau \right\|_{C^{2s+\beta-s\eta}} \\ &\overset{Lemma\ 2.7}{\leq} C\xi^{1-\eta} \int_{t/2}^{t} (t-\tau+\xi)^{-2+\eta} \tau^{\theta-1} d\tau \|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} \\ &\leq C(t/2)^{\theta-1} \|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\xi^{1-\eta} \frac{d}{d\xi}(G_\xi * v_2)\|_{C^{s+\beta-s\eta}} = \left\| \xi^{1-\eta} \int_{t/2}^{t} (\partial_t G_{t-\tau+\xi} * f \right\|_{C^{s+\beta-s\eta}}$$

$$\leq C\xi^{1-\eta}\int_{t/2}^{t}(t-\tau+\xi)^{-2+\eta}\tau^{\theta-1}d\tau\|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}}$$
$$\leq C(t/2)^{\theta-1}\|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}}$$

as

$$\xi^{1-\eta}\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^{t}(t-\tau+\xi)^{-2+\eta}d\tau=\frac{\xi^{1-\eta}}{1-\eta}(\xi^{\eta-1}-(\frac{t}{2}+\xi)^{\eta-1})\leq\frac{1}{1-\eta}.$$

Hence, by the estimate (2.6)

(2.23)

$$\|v_2(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}$$
$$\leq C\sup_{\xi\in(0,T/2)}\left(\xi^{1-\eta}\left(\|(G_\xi * v_2(t))\|_{C^{6+\beta-s\eta}}\right)+\|\partial_\xi(G_\xi * v_2(t))\|_{C^{s+\beta-s\eta}}\right)$$
$$\leq Ct^{\theta-1}\|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}}.$$

From (2.19),(2.21), (2.22), and (2.23) we obtain the desired estimate for $\|u\|_{C^{s+\beta}}$.

The estimate for $\partial_t u$ then follows from $\partial_t u = f-(-\Delta)^{s/2}u$ and the triangle inequality. □

Lemma 2.10 (Maximal regularity)**.** *Let $\Lambda, T>0$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and $\beta>0$, $\theta\in(0,1)$ with $\beta+s\theta\notin\mathbb{N}$ be given. Then there is a constant $C=C(\Lambda,\beta,\theta,n,T),<\infty$ such that the following holds: For all*

$$a\in C^1([0,T],h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},[1/\Lambda,\infty))),\quad b\in C^0((0,T),L(h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n),h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)))$$

with

$$\|a\|_{C^1([0,T],C^\beta)}+t^{1-\theta}\|b(t)\|_{L(h^\beta,h^\beta)}\leq\Lambda$$

and all $u\in C^1((0,T),h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))\cap C^0((0,T),h^{s+\beta})\cap C^0([0,T],h^{\beta+s\theta})$ we have

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}t^{1-\theta}\left\{\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\right\}$$
$$\leq C\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}t^{1-\theta}\|\partial_t u(t)+a(t)Q^{s-1}u(t)+b(t)u(t)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(0)\|_{h^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).$$

Proof. Note that it is enough to prove the statement for small T. Let us fix $T_0>0$ and assume that $T\leq T_0$. Furthermore, we use the embedding $h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)\to h^\beta(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and extend the definition of Q^{s-1} to functions f defined on $\mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$Q^{s-1}f(x):=\lim_{\varepsilon\searrow0}\int_{[-1/2,1/2]-[\varepsilon,\varepsilon]}\left(2\frac{f(u+w)-f(u)-wf'(u)}{w^2}-f''(x)\right)\frac{dw}{w^2}.$$

Step 1: $\beta\in(0,1)$ **and** $b=0$

Let $\phi,\psi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be two cutoff functions satisfying

$$\chi_{B_{1/2}(0)}\leq\phi\leq\chi_{B_1(0)}$$
$$\chi_{B_2(0)}\leq\psi\leq\chi_{B_4(0)}.$$

and $\phi_r(x):=\phi(x/r)$, $\psi_r(x)=\psi(x/r)$. We set

$$f=\partial_t u+aQ^{s-1}u.$$

For $r<1/8$ we set $a_0=a(0,0)$ and calculate

$$\partial_t(u\phi_r)+a_0c_s(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}})^{s/2}(u\phi_r)=(\partial_t u+aQ^{s-1}u)\phi_r-a(Q^{s-1}(u)\phi_r-Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r))$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad - (a-a_0)Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r) - a_0(Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r) - c_s(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}})^{s/2}(u\phi_r)\\
&= f\phi_r - f_1 - f_2 - f_3,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1 &:= a(Q^{s-1}(u)\phi_r - Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r))\\
f_2 &:= (a-a_0)Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r)\\
f_3 &:= a_0(Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r) - c_s(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}})^{s/2}(u\phi_r).
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 2.9 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t^{1-\theta}(\|\partial_t u(t)\phi_r\|_{C^\beta} + \|u(t)\phi_r\|_{C^{s+\beta}})\\
&\quad \le C\Big(\sup_{s\in[0,T]} s^{1-\theta}\left(\|f(s)\phi_r\|_{C^\beta} + \|f_1(s)\|_{C^\beta} + \|f_2(s)\|_{C^\beta} + \|f_3(s)\|_{C^\beta}\right)\\
&\quad + \|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma C.1, we obtain

$$
\|f_1(s)\|_{C^\beta} \le C\Lambda \|u(s)\|_{C^{2+\beta}} \|\phi_r\|_{C^{s+\beta}}.
$$

Using $|a(x,t)-a_0| \le \Lambda(|x|^\beta + T)$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f_2\|_{C^\beta} &\le \|\psi_r(a-a_0)Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r)\|_{C^\beta} + \|(\psi_r - 1)(a-a_0)Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r)\|_{C^\beta}\\
&\le C_1\Lambda((2r)^{\tilde\beta} + T)\|u\phi_r\|_{C^{s+\beta}} + \|(\psi_r-1)(a-a(0))Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r)\|_{C^\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where C_1 does not depend on r or T. Since $\operatorname{spt} 1-\psi_r \subset \mathbb{R} - B_{4r}(0)$ and $\operatorname{spt}\phi_r \subset B_r(0)$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\psi_r - 1)(a-a_0)Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r)(x)\\
&\qquad = (\psi_r(x)-1)(a(x)-a(0)) \int\limits_{[-1/2,1/2]-[-r,r]} \frac{u(x+w)\phi_r(x+r)}{w^2} dw
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\|(\psi_r-1)(a-a_0)Q^{s-1}(u\phi_r)\|_{C^\beta} \le C(\Lambda,\psi,\phi,r)\|u\|_{C^\beta}.
$$

This leads to

$$
\|f_2(s)\|_{C^\beta} \le C_1\Lambda((2r)^{\tilde\beta} + T)\|u(s)\phi_r\|_{C^{s+\beta}} + C\|u(s)\|_{C^\beta}.
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\|f_3\|_{C^\beta} \le C(\Lambda,\phi,r)\|u\|_{C^{2+\beta}}
$$

since for $v \in C^{s+\beta}(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support we have

$$
Q^{s-1}(v) - c_s(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}})^{s/2}(v) = -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}-[-1/2,1/2]} \left(2\frac{v(u+w)-v(u)}{w^2}, v''(u)\right)\frac{dw}{w^2}.
$$

and hence

$$
\|Q^{s-1}(v) - c_s(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}})^{s/2}(v)\|_{C^\beta} \le (4\|v\|_{C^\beta} + \|v\|_{C^{2+\beta}})\cdot 2\int\limits_{\frac12}^{\infty}\frac{1}{w^2}dw \le 24\|v\|_{C^{2+\beta}}.
$$

Summing up, we thus get

$$
\sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}(\|\partial_t(u\phi_r)(t)\|_{C^\beta} + \|u(t)\phi_r\|_{C^{s+\beta}})
$$

$$\leq C_1\Lambda((2r)^{\tilde{\beta}}+T)\sup_{s\in(0,T]} s^{1-\theta}\|u\phi_r\|_{C^{s+\beta}}$$
$$+C(\phi,\psi,r,\Lambda))\bigg(\sup_{s\in(0,T]}(s^{1-\theta}\|f(s)\|_{C^\beta}+s^{1-\theta}\|u(s)\|_{C^s})+\|u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\bigg),$$

where C_1 does not depend on r. Choosing r and T small enough and absorbing the first term on the right hand side, leads to

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}\left(\|\partial_t u\|_{C^\beta(B_{r/2}(0))}+\|u\|_{C^{s+\beta}(B_{r/2}(0))}\right)$$
$$\leq C(\phi,\psi,r,\Lambda))\bigg(\sup_{s\in(0,T]}(s^{1-\theta}\|f(s)\|_{C^\beta}+s^{1-\theta}\|u(s)\|_{C^s})+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\bigg).$$

Of course, the same inequality holds for all balls of radius $r/4$. Thus, covering $[0,1]$ with balls of radius $r/4$ we obtain

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}\big(\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\big)$$
$$\leq C\left(\sup_{s\in(0,T]}(s^{1-\theta}\|f(s)\|_{C^\beta}+s^{1-\theta}\|u(s)\|_{C^s})+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).$$

Using the interpolation inequality for Hölder spaces

$$\|u\|_{C^s}\leq\varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{s+\beta}}+C(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{C^\beta}$$

and absorbing, this leads to

$$\sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}\left(\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\right)$$
$$\leq C\left(\sup_{s\in(0,T]}\big(s^{1-\theta}\|f(s)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(s)\|_{C^\beta}\big)+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(s)\|_{C^\beta}&\leq\int_0^s\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|_{C^\beta}d\tau+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\\&\leq\int_0^T\tau^{\theta-1}d\tau\sup_{\tau\in[0,T]}\tau^{1-\theta}\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\\&\leq\frac{1}{\theta}T^\theta\sup_{\tau\in(0,T]}\tau^{1-\theta}\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}},\end{aligned}$$

we can absorb the first term for $T>0$ small enough to obtain

$$\sup_{s\in(0,T)} s^{1-\theta}\left(\|\partial_t u(s)\|_{C^\beta}+\|u(s)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\right)$$
$$\leq C(\Lambda))\left(\left(\sup_{s\in[0,T]}s^{1-\theta}\|f\|_{C^\beta}\right)+\|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).$$

Step 2: General β but $b=0$

Let $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\tilde{\beta}\in(0,1)$ and let the lemma be true for $\beta=k+\tilde{\beta}$. We deduce the statement for $\beta=k+1+\tilde{\beta}$.

From $\partial_t u + aQ^{s-1}u = f$ we deduce that

$$\partial_t(\partial_x u) + aQ^{s-1}\partial_x u = \partial_x f - (\partial_x a)Q^{s-1}u$$

and we obtain by applying the induction hypothesis to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x u\|_{X_T^{k+\beta,\theta}} &\le C\left(\|\partial_x f\|_{Y_T^{k+\tilde\beta,\theta}} + \|(\partial_x a)Q^{s-1}u\|_{Y_T^{k+\tilde\beta,\theta}} + \|\partial_x u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right)\\ &\le C\left(\|f\|_{Y_T^{k+1+\tilde\beta,\theta}} + \Lambda\|u\|_{X_T^{k+\tilde\beta,\theta}} + \|\partial_x u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right)\\ &\le C\left(\|f\|_{Y_T^{k+1+\tilde\beta,\theta}} + \|\partial_x u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Step 3: General β and b

From Step 2 we get

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{\beta,\theta}} \le C\left(\|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} + \|((t,x)\mapsto b(t)(u(t))(x))\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} + \|u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).$$

As

$$\|((t,x)\mapsto b(t)(u(t))(x))\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} = \sup_{s\in(0,T]} t^{1-\theta}\|b(t)(u(t))\|_{C^\beta} \le \Lambda \sup_{s\in(0,T]}\|u(s)\|_{C^\beta}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(s)\|_{C^\beta} &\le \int_0^s \|\partial_t u(\tau)\|_{C^\beta} d\tau + \|u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\\ &\le \int_0^T \tau^{\theta-1} d\tau \sup_{\tau\in[0,T]} \tau^{1-\theta}\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|_{C^\beta} + \|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\\ &\le \frac{1}{\theta}T^\theta \sup_{\tau\in(0,T]} \tau^{1-\theta}\|\partial_t u(\tau)\|_{C^\beta} + \|u(0)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}.\end{aligned}$$

we get, absorbing the first term for $T>0$ small enough,

$$\|u\|_{X_T^{\beta,\theta}} \le C\left(\|f\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} + \|u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\right).$$

□

Now we can finally prove Theorem 2.6.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. It only remains to show that this mappping J is onto. To prove this, we use the method of continuity for the family of operators $J_\tau : u \mapsto (u(0), \partial_t u + ((1-\tau)\lambda Q^{s-1}u + \tau(aQ^{s-1}u + bu))$. In view of Lemma 5.2 in [GT01], we have to show is that J_0 is onto.

By [He00, Lemma 2.3] and [Rei12, Proposition 1.4] we have for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$Q^{s-1}(f)^\wedge(k) = \lambda_k |2\pi k|^s \hat f(k)$$

where

$$\lambda_k = c_s + O(\frac{1}{k}).$$

for some positive constants c_s. For u_0, f in C^∞ a smooth solution of the equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u + \lambda Q^{s-1}u = f & \forall t\in(0,T]\\ u(0) = u_0\end{cases}$$

can be given by Duhamel's formula

$$u(t,x)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\hat{u}_0(k)e^{-t\lambda\lambda_k|2\pi k|^s}e^{2\pi ikx}+\int_0^t\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(f(s))^\wedge(k)e^{-(t-s)\lambda\lambda_k|2\pi k|^s}ds.$$

Let now $u_0\in h^{\beta+s\theta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f\in Y_T^{\beta,\theta}$. We set $f_k(t):=f(t+1/k)$ and observe that

$$f_k\to f\quad\text{in } C^0((0,T],h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Since $f_k\in C^0([0,T-1/k],h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$, we can find functions $f_{n,k}\in C^\infty([0,T-1/k]\times\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f_{n,k}\to f_n$ in $C^0([0,T-1/k],C^\beta)$ for $n\to\infty$ and smooth $u_0^{(k)}$ converging to u_0 in $h^{\beta+s\theta}$. Let $u_{n,k}\in C^\infty$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u_{n,k}+Q^{s-1}u_{n,k}=f_{n,k}\\ u_{n,k}(0)=u_0^{(k)}.\end{cases}$$

Using the a priori estimate of Lemma 2.10, one deduces that the sequence $\{u_{n,k}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X_{T-\varepsilon}^{\beta,\theta}$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. The limit u_n solves the equation

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u_n+Q^{s-1}u_n=f_n\\ u_n(0)=u_0.\end{cases}$$

Using the a priori estimates again, one sees that $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $X_{T-\varepsilon}^{\beta,\theta}$. Since $X_{T-\varepsilon}^{\beta,\theta}$ is embedded continuously in $C^{\theta/2}([0,T-\varepsilon],h^{\beta+s\frac{\theta}{2}})$ and $C^{1-\eta}([\delta,T-\varepsilon],h^{\beta+s\eta})$ for all $\eta\in(0,1),\varepsilon,\delta>0$, we can assume, after going to a subsequence, that there is a $u_\infty\in X_T^{\beta,\theta}$ such that

$$u_n\to u_\infty\qquad\qquad\text{in } C^0((0,T-\varepsilon),C^{s+\beta})$$

for $0\le\beta<\beta,\varepsilon>0$ and

$$u_\infty(0)=u_0.$$

Hence we get

$$\partial_t u_n=f_n-\lambda Q^{s-1}u_n\to f+\lambda Q^{s-1}u_\infty\text{ in }C^0((0,T-\varepsilon),C^{s+\beta})$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ which implies that u_∞ solves

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u_\infty+\lambda Q^{s-1}u_\infty=f\\ u_\infty(0)=u_0.\end{cases}$$

□

2.2.2. *The Quasilinear Equation.* Now we are in position to prove short time existence for quasilinear equations and C^1-dependence on the initial data.

Proposition 2.11 (Short time existence)**.** *Let $0<\beta$, $0<\theta<\sigma<1$, $\beta,\beta+s\theta,\beta+s\sigma\notin\mathbb{N}_0$, $U\subset C^{\beta+s\theta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ be open and let $a\in C^1(U,C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},(0,\infty)))$, $f\in C^1(U,C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$.*

Then for every $u_0\in h^{\beta+s\sigma}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)\cap U$ there is a constant $T>0$ and a unique $u\in C^0([0,T),h^{\beta+s\sigma}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))\cap C^1((0,T),h^{s+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$ such that

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u+a(u)Q^{s-1}(u)=f(u)\\ u(0)=u_0.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us first prove the existence. We set $\tilde{X}_T^{\beta,\sigma}:=\{w\in X_T^{\beta,\sigma}:w(0)=u_0\}$. For $w\in\tilde{X}_T^{\beta,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ let Φw denote the solution u of the problem

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t u+A_0u=B(w)w+f(w),\\ u(0)=u_0\end{cases}$$

where $A_0 = a(u_0)Q^{s-1}$ and $B(w) = (a(u_0) - a(w))Q^{s-1}$.

Let v be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + a(u_0)Q^{s-1}(v) = f(u_0) \\ \tilde{v}(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

and $\mathcal{B}_r(v) := \{w \in \tilde{X}_T^{\beta,\sigma} : \|w - v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \le r\}$. We will show that Φ defines a contraction on $\mathcal{B}_r(v)$ if $r, T > 0$ are small enough.

Since $a \in C^1(U, h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n))$, we get $\|B(z)\|_{L(C^{\beta+s}, C^\beta)} \le C\|z - u\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}$ for all $z, u \in C^{\beta+s\theta}$ close to u_0.

Let $w_1, w_2 \in \mathcal{B}_r(v)$, $r \le 1$. Using that the space $X_T^{\beta,\sigma}$ is embedded continuously in $C^{\sigma-\theta}([0,T], h^{\beta+s\theta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n))$ and $w_1(0) = w_2(0) = v(0) = u_0$ we get

$$\|w_2(t) - u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}} \le Ct^{\sigma-\theta}\|w_2\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \le Ct^{\sigma-\theta}(\|v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + r), \tag{2.24}$$

$$\|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}} \le Ct^{\sigma-\theta}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}}. \tag{2.25}$$

Using Lemma 2.10, we estimate

$$\|\Phi w_1 - \Phi w_2\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \le C\|B(w_1)w_1 - B(w_2)w_2\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\theta}} + C\|fw_1 - fw_2\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t^{1-\sigma}\|f(w_1(t)) - f(w_2(t))\|_{C^\beta} &\le Ct^{1-\sigma}\|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}} \\ &\overset{(2.25)}{\le} CT^{1-\theta}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|B(w_1)w_1 - B(w_2)w_2\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \\ &\quad\le \|(B(w_1) - B(w_2))w_1\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + \|B(w_2)(w_1 - w_2)\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \\ &\quad\le C \sup_{t\in(0,T]} t^{1-\sigma}\big(\|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\|w_1(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \|w_2(t) - u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s\theta}}\|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\big) \\ &\quad\overset{(2.25)\&(2.24)}{\le} C \sup_{t\in(0,T]} \big(t^{\sigma-\theta}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}}\|w_1\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \\ &\qquad\qquad + t^{\sigma-\theta}(\|v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + r)t^{1-\sigma}\|w_1(t) - w_2(t)\|_{C^{s+\beta}}\big) \\ &\quad\le C(T^{\sigma-\theta}(\|v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + r)\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi(w_1) - \Phi(w_2)\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \le C(T^{1-\sigma} + T^{\sigma-\theta}(\|v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + r))\|w_1 - w_2\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}}$$

and hence Φ is a contraction on $\mathcal{B}_r(v)$ if T and r are small enough.

Similarly, we deduce from the definition of v that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(w) - v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} &\le C\|B(w)w\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + \|f(w) - f(u_0)\|_{Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \\ &\le CT^{\sigma-\theta}\|w\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}}\|w - v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\theta}} + T^{1-\theta}\|w - v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} + \|v - u_0\|_{C^{\beta+s}} \\ &< \|w - v\|_{X_T^{\beta,\sigma}} \end{aligned}$$

if T and r are small enough. Then $\phi(\mathcal{B}_r(v)) \subset \phi(\mathcal{B}_r(v))$. Hence, by Banach's fixed-point theorem there is a unique $u \in B_r(v)$ with $\partial_t u + a(u)Q^{s-1}(u)u = f(u)$.

For the uniqueness statement, we only have to guarantee that every solution is in $Y_T^{\beta,\sigma}$. But this follows from Lemma 2.10. □

Proposition 2.12 (Dependence on the data)**.** *Let a, b be as in Proposition 2.11 and $u \in Y_T^{\theta,\beta}$ be a solution of the quasilinear equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + a(u)Q^{s-1}(u) = 0 \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Then there is a neighborhood U of u_0 in $h^{\beta+s\theta}$ such that for all $x \in U$ there is a solution u_x of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + a(u)Q^{s-1}u = 0 \\ u(0) = x \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} U &\to Y_T^{\theta,\beta} \\ x &\mapsto u_x \end{aligned}$$

is C^1.

Proof. We define $\Phi : h^{\beta+s\theta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n) \times X_T^{\beta,\theta} \to Y_T^{\beta,\theta}$ by

$$\Phi(x, u) := (u(0) - x, \partial_t u + a(u)Q^{s-1}u)$$

Then the Fréchet derivative of ϕ with respect to u reads as

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x,u)}{\partial u}(h) = (h, \partial_t h + a(u)Q^{s-1}h + a'(u)hQ^{s-1}u).$$

Setting $a(t) = a(u(t))$ and $b(t)(h) = a'(u)hQ^{s-1}u$, Lemma 2.10 tells us that this is an isomorphism between $X_T^{\theta,\beta}$ and $h^\beta \times Y_T^{\theta,\beta}$. Hence, the statement of the lemma follows from the implicit function theorem on Banach spaces. □

2.2.3. *Proof of Theorem 2.5.* Since the normal bundle of a curve is trivial, we can find smooth normal vector fields $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{n-1} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for each of $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the vectors $\nu_1(x), \dots, \nu_{n-1}(x)$ form an orthonormal basis of the space of all normal vectors to c_0 at x. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_r(c) := \{(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) \in h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1}) : \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \nu_i \in \mathcal{V}_r(c)\}$.

If we have $N_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{i,t}\nu_i$, $(\phi_{1,t}, \dots \phi_{n-1,t}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_r(c)$, then (2.5), using Theorem 2.3, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\partial_t \phi_{i,t}) \left(P^\perp_{c'(u)} \nu_i \right) &= -\frac{2}{|c'|^s} P^\perp_{c'} \left(Q^\alpha \left(c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{i,t}\nu_i \right) \right) + F(c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{i,t}\nu_i) + \lambda\kappa \\ &= -\frac{2}{|c'|^s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(Q^\alpha \phi_{i,t}\right) P^\perp_{c'} \nu_i - F(c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{i,t}\nu_i) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{|c'|^s} P^\perp_{c'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Q^\alpha \left(\phi_{i,t}\nu_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(Q^\alpha \phi_{i,t}\right) \nu_i + Q^\alpha c_0 \right) \\ &\quad - F(c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{i,t}\nu_i) + \lambda\kappa \\ &= -\frac{2}{|c'|^s} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(Q^\alpha \phi_{i,t}\right) P^\perp_{c'} \nu_i + \tilde{F}_{c_0}(\phi_t) + \lambda\kappa \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_{c_0}(\phi_t) = -F(c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_{i,t}\nu_i) - \frac{2}{|c'|^s} P^\perp_{c'} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Q^\alpha \left(\phi_{i,t}\nu_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(Q^\alpha \phi_{i,t}\right) \nu_i + Q^\alpha c_0 \right)$$

$$+\frac{2}{|c'|^s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(Q^\alpha\phi_{i,t}\right)P^\perp_{c'}\nu_i - P^\perp_{c'}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}Q^\alpha\phi_{i,t}\nu_i\right)\right).$$

Using Lemma C.1we see that $\tilde F \in C^w(C^{\alpha+\beta},C^\beta)$ for all $\beta>0$. Furthermore, the term $\lambda\kappa$ belongs to $C^\omega(C^{\alpha+\beta},C^\beta)$. Since (2.3) implies that $\{P^\perp_{c'}\nu_r : r=1,\dots,n-1\}$ is a basis of the normal space of the curve c at the point u, the mapping $A:\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\to(\mathbb{R}c'(u))^\perp$, $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1})\mapsto\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}x_iP^\perp_{c'(u)}\nu_i$ is invertible as long as $\|c'-c_0'\|_{L^\infty}<1$.

So we have brought the evolution equation into the form

$$\partial_t\phi_t = \frac{2}{|c'|^s}Q^\alpha\phi_t + A^{-1}\left(\tilde F(\phi_t)\right) \tag{2.26}$$

where $A^{-1}\left(\tilde F(\phi_t)\right)\in C^\omega(h^{\alpha+\beta},h^\beta)$ for all $\beta>0$. Now the statement follows from Proposition 2.11, Proposition 2.12, and a standard bootstrapping argument.

2.2.4. *Proof of Theorem 2.1.* From Theorem 2.5 we get a smooth solution of

$$(\partial_t\gamma)^\perp = H^\alpha(\gamma_t)+\lambda\kappa_{\gamma_t}.$$

Let $\phi_t(x)$ for $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\times[0,T)$ denote the solution of

$$\partial_t\phi_t(x) = \frac{-(\partial_t\gamma(\phi_t(x)))^T}{\gamma_t'(\phi_t(x))}.$$

We caculate for $\tilde\gamma_t=\gamma_t\circ\phi_t$

$$\partial_t(\tilde\gamma_t) = (\partial_t\gamma)^\perp\circ\phi_t = H^\alpha\tilde\gamma_t+\lambda\kappa_{\tilde\gamma_t}.$$

2.2.5. *Proof of Theorem 2.2.* The proof of Theorem 2.2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5 and the following approximation argument

Lemma 2.13. *Let $r:h^{2,\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)\to(0,\infty)$ be a lower semi-continuous function. Then for every $\tilde\gamma\in h^{2+\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a $\gamma\in C^\infty_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$, $N\in\mathcal{V}_r(\gamma)$ and a diffeomorphism $\psi\in C^{2+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\tilde\gamma\circ\psi=\gamma+N$.*

Proof of Lemma 2.13. Let $\tilde c\in h^{2+\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let us set $c_\varepsilon:=\phi_\varepsilon*\tilde c$ where $\phi_\varepsilon(x)=\varepsilon^{-1}\phi(x/\varepsilon)$ is a smooth smoothing kernel. Since $h^{2+\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an open subset of $h^{2+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(\varepsilon\to c_\varepsilon)\in C^0([0,\infty),h^{2+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$, we get $c_\varepsilon\in h^{2+\beta}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ for ε small enough.

Since $c_\varepsilon\in C^0([0,\infty),h^{2+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$ there is an open neighborhood U of the set $c(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and an $\varepsilon_0>0$ such that the nearest neighborhood retract $r_\varepsilon:U\to\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{R}$ onto c_ε is defined on U simultaneously for all $0\le\varepsilon<\varepsilon_0$. Note, that these retracts r_ε are smooth as the curves c_ε are smooth and

$$\begin{aligned}[0,\varepsilon_0)\times U&\to\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\\ (\varepsilon,x)&\mapsto r_\varepsilon(x)\end{aligned}$$

belongs to $C^0([0,\varepsilon_0),C^{1+\beta})$.

We set $\psi_\varepsilon(x):=r_\varepsilon(c(x))$. Now $\psi_0=id_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}$, ψ_ε is a $C^{1+\beta}$ diffeomorphism for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough since the subset of diffeomorphism is open in $C^{1+\beta}$. Hence, we can set $N_\varepsilon(x)=c_\varepsilon(\psi_\varepsilon^{-1}(x))-c_0(x)$ for ε_0 small enough. We will show that $c:=c_\varepsilon$, $N:=N_\varepsilon$, and $\psi:=\psi_\varepsilon$ satisfy the statement of the lemma if ε is small enough.

From $\psi_\varepsilon(x):=r_\varepsilon(c(x))$ we deduce that ψ_ε is in fact a $C^{2+\beta}$ diffeomorphism, as r_ε is smooth.

Since

$$N_\varepsilon=c_\varepsilon\circ\psi_\varepsilon^{-1}-c_0\in C^0([0,\infty),C^{1+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n))$$

and $N_0 = 0$, we furthermore we get

$$\|N_\varepsilon\|_{C^1} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \searrow 0} 0.$$

Since r is lower semi-continuous and $r(c_0) > 0$, we hence get $\|N_\varepsilon\|_{C^1} < r(c_\varepsilon)$ for small ε. As $N_\varepsilon \in h^{2,\beta}$ we deduce that $N_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}_r(c_\varepsilon)$ if ε is small enough.

□

3. Long Time Existence

The aim of this section is to prove the following long time existence result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $c_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a unique solution $c \in C^0([0,\infty), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)) \cap C^\infty((0,\infty), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n))$ to (1.4) with initial data $c(0) = c_0$. This solution subconverges, after suitable re-parameterizations and translations, to a smooth critical point of $E^\alpha + \lambda_\infty L$ where $\lambda_\infty = \lim_{t\to\infty} \lambda(t)$.*

Let me first sketch the strategy of the proof. Since we are looking at negative gradient flows of E^α we have for a solution $c(t)$ of equation (1.4)

$$E^\alpha(c(t)) + \lambda L(c) \leq E^\alpha(c(0)) + \lambda L(c(0))$$

for all $t \in [0, T)$. So both, the energy and the length of the curve, is uniformly bounded in time. As Abrams et al [ACF+03] have shown that

$$E^\alpha(c) \geq E^\alpha(\mathbb{S}^1) = m_\alpha > 0$$

for all closed curves c of unit length, we get from the scaling of the energy

$$E^\alpha(c) = L^{2-\alpha} E^\alpha(\frac{c}{L(c)}) \geq L^{2-\alpha} m_\alpha$$

and thus

$$L(c_t) \geq \left(\frac{m_\alpha}{E^\alpha(c_t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}} \geq \left(\frac{m_\alpha}{E^\alpha(c_0)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2}} > 0 \tag{3.1}$$

uniformly in t.

We will show that the energies E^α are coercive in $W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}$ (cf. Theorem 3.2) in Section 3.1. Together with the above inequalities this implies that the $W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}$ norm of the unit tangents of the curve is uniformly bounded.

To get higher order estimates, we calculate the evolution equations of the terms

$$\mathcal{E}^k = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_s^k \kappa|^2 ds$$

(cf. 3.3) in Section 3.2 and show that the resulting terms can be estimated using Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities for fractional Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces.

In the Subsections 3.4 we put all these pieces together to show that the solution to the flow exists for all time and subconverges after suitable translations and re-parameterizations if necessary to a critical point.

3.1. Coercivity of the Energy.

Theorem 1.1 in [Bla12a] states that for curves parameterized by arc length, the energy E^α is finite if and only if the curve is injective and belongs to $W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. One of the most important ingredients in the proof of the long time existence result is the following quantitative version of the regularizing effects of Theorem 1.1 in [Bla12a]:

Theorem 3.2 (Coercivity of E^α)**.** *Let $c \in C^1(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $l > 0$, be a curve parametrized by arc length and $\alpha \in [2, 3)$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha) < \infty$ depending only on α such that*

$$|c'|_{W^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2},2}} \leq C E^\alpha(c).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
E^\alpha(c) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{|c(u+w)-c(u)|^\alpha} - \frac{1}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|w|^\alpha}{|c(u+w)-c(u)|^\alpha} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{|c(u+w)-c(u)|^\alpha}{|w|^\alpha}}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \\
&\overset{|c(u+w)-c(u)| \geq |w|}{\geq} \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{|c(u+w)-c(u)|^\alpha}{|w|^\alpha}}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \\
&\overset{1-a^\alpha \geq 1-a^2 \text{ for } a \in [0,1]}{\geq} \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - \frac{|c(u+w)-c(u)|^2}{|w|^2}}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \langle c'(u+\tau_1 w), c'(u+\tau_2 w) \rangle \, d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \\
&\overset{|c'|=1}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |c'(u+\tau_1 w) - c'(u+\tau_2 w)|^2 d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du
\end{aligned}$$

Using Fubini and successively substituting u by $u + \tau_1 w$ and then w by $(\tau_2 - \tau_1)w$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E^\alpha(c) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{|c'(u+\tau_1 w) - c'(u+\tau_2 w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 dw du \\
&\geq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(|\tau_2 - \tau_1|^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{|\tau_2-\tau_1|l}{2}}^{\frac{\|\tau_2-\tau_1|l}{2}} \left(\frac{|c'(u) - c'(u+w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \right) d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \int_0^{1/4} \int_{3/4}^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{4}}^{\frac{l}{4}} \left(\frac{|c'(u) - c'(u+w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{\alpha+5} \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{4}}^{\frac{l}{4}} \left(\frac{|c'(u) - c'(u+w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du
\end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned}
|c'|^2_{W^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{|c'(u) - c'(u+w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dwdu \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\frac{|c'(u) - c'(u+w/2)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dwdu \\
&\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{4}}^{\frac{l}{4}} \left(\frac{|c'(u+w/2) - c'(u+w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dwdu \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\frac{l}{4}}^{\frac{l}{4}} \left(\frac{|c'(u) - c'(u+w/2)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dwdu \\
&\leq C E^\alpha(c).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. **Evolution Equations of Higher Order Energies.** As for most of our estimates the precise algebraic form of the terms does not matter, we will use the following notation to describe the essential structure of the terms.

For two Euclidean vectors v, w, $v*w$ stands for a bilinear operator in v and w into another Euclidean vector space. For a regular curve c, let $\partial_s = \frac{\partial_x}{|c'|}$ denote the derivative with respect to arc length. For $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$, a regular curve $c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ we let $P^\mu_\nu(f)$ be a linear combination of terms of the form $\partial_s^{j_1} f * \cdots * \partial_s^{j_\nu} f$, $j_1 + \cdots + j_\nu = \mu$. Furthermore, given a second function $g : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^k$ the expression $P^\mu_\nu(g, f)$ denotes a linear combination of terms of the form $\partial_s^{j_1} g * \partial_s^{j_2} f * \partial_s^{j_3} f * \cdots * \partial_s^{j_\nu} f$, $j_1 + \cdots + j_\nu = \mu$.

Let c_t be a smooth family of smooth closed curves moving only in normal direction, i.e., $V := \partial_t c_t$ is normal along c_t. Furthermore, let us denote by s the arc length parameter. It is well known that

$$\partial_t \partial_s = \partial_s \partial_t + \langle \kappa, V \rangle \partial_s \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\partial_t \kappa = \partial_s^2 V + \partial_s(\langle \kappa, V \rangle \tau) + \langle \kappa, V \rangle \kappa. \tag{3.3}$$

Using these equations, we inductively deduce the following evolution equations of arbitrary derivatives of the curvature.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open and $c : I \times \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth family of curves such that $V := \partial_t c$ is normal along c, i.e. $\langle V(x,t), c'(x,t) \rangle = 0$. Then*

$$\partial_t(\partial_s^k \kappa) = \partial^{k+2} V + \partial_s \left(P_2^k(V, \kappa)\tau \right) + P_3^k(V, \kappa)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. By (3.3) the statement is true for $k = 0$. If the statement was true for some k then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t(\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa) &= \partial_s(\partial_t(\partial_s^k \kappa)) + \langle \kappa, V \rangle \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \\
&= \partial_s \left(\partial^{k+2} V + \partial_s \left(P_2^k(V, \kappa)\tau \right) + P_3^k(V, \kappa) \right) + \langle \kappa, V \rangle \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \\
&= \partial_s \left(\partial^{k+2} V + P_2^{k+1}(V, \kappa)\tau + P_3^{k+1}(V, \kappa) + P_3^k(V, \kappa) \right) + \langle \kappa, V \rangle \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \partial^{(k+1)+2} V + \partial_s \left(P_2^{k+1}(V,\kappa)\tau \right) + P_3^{k+1}(V,\kappa).$$

Hence, induction gives the assertion. □

An immediate corollary of Lemma 3.3 and

$$\partial_t \left(|c'| \right) = - \langle \kappa, \partial_s V \rangle \, |c'|$$

is the following.

Corollary 3.4. *Let c be a family of curves moving with normal speed V. Then*

$$\partial_t \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_s^k \kappa|^2 ds = 2 \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle \partial_s^{k+2} V, \partial_s^k \kappa \rangle ds + 2 \int \langle P_2^k(V,\kappa)\tau, \partial_s^{k+1}\kappa \rangle ds + 2 \int \langle P_3^k(V,\kappa), \partial_s^k \kappa \rangle ds - \int |\partial_s^k \kappa|^2 \langle \kappa, V \rangle ds.$$

3.3. **Interpolation Estimates.** In this section we will prove several estimates that will be needed later in the proof of the long time existence result. In a natural way the Besov spaces $B_q^{s,p}$ appear during our calculations.

Lemma 3.5 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev type estimates for a typical term)**.** *Let $j_1, \dots, j_{\nu+2} \in \mathbb{N}$, $j_1, \dots, j_\nu \geq 2$, and $s > \frac{3}{2}$ be such that there are $p_1, \dots, p_{\nu+2} \in [1,\infty]$ with*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu+2} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1,$$

$\frac{\alpha}{2} \leq j_i - \frac{1}{p_i} \leq s + \frac{\alpha}{2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ and $\frac{1}{2} j_i - \frac{1}{p_i} \leq s + \frac{1}{2}$ for $i = \nu+1, \nu+2$. Let $\theta := (\sum_{i=1}^{\nu+2} j_i - \nu\frac{\alpha}{2} - 2)/s$.

Then for all $\Lambda < \infty$ there is a $C = C(\Lambda)$ such that

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int\limits_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} |\partial^{j_i} f(u+\sigma_i w)| \right) \times \left(\prod_{i=\nu+1}^{\nu+2} \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\partial^{j_i} f(u+\tau_1 w) - \partial^{j_i} f(u+\tau_2 w)| d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du \leq C \|f\|^\theta_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+s,2}} \|f\|^{\nu+2-\theta}_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}}$$

holds for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if $\Lambda^{-1} \leq l \leq \Lambda$, $\sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using Hölder's inequality for the integration with respect to u, we get

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \int\limits_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} |\partial_s^{j_i} f(u+\sigma_i w)| \right) \left(\prod_{i=\nu+1}^{\nu+2} \frac{\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |\partial^{j_i} f(u+\tau_1 w) - \partial^{j_i} f(u+\tau_2 w)| d\tau_1 d\tau_2}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw du$$

$$\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \|\partial^{j_i} f\|_{L^{p_i}} \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \frac{\prod_{i=\nu+1}^{\nu+2} \|\partial^{j_i} f(\cdot + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)w) - \partial^{j_i} f\|_{L^{p_i}}}{|w|^\alpha} dw d\tau_1 d\tau_2$$

$$\leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \|\partial^{j_i} f\|_{L^{p_i}} \right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \prod_{i=\nu+1}^{\nu+2} \left(\int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \frac{\|\partial^{j_i} f(\cdot + (\tau_1 - \tau_2)w) - \partial^{j_i} f\|^2_{L^{p_i}}}{|w|^\alpha} dw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau_1 d\tau_2.$$

Substituting w by $(\tau_1-\tau_2)w$ we can estimate this further by

$$\leq C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}\|\partial^{j_i}f\|_{L^{p_i}}\right)\int_0^1\int_0^1|\tau_1-\tau_2|^{\alpha-1}\prod_{i=\nu+1}^{\nu+2}\left(\int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}}\frac{\|\partial^{j_i}f(\cdot+w)-\partial^{j_i}f\|_{L^{p_i}}^2}{|w|^\alpha}dw\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\tau_1 d\tau_2$$

$$\leq C\left(\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}\|\partial^{j_i}f\|_{L^{p_i}}\right)\|\partial^{j_{\nu+1}}f\|_{B_2^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2},p_{\nu+1}}}\|\partial^{j_{\nu+2}}f\|_{B_2^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2},p_{\nu+2}}}.$$

Scaling the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev estimates (Theorem D.1), the last term can be estimated from above by

$$C\prod_{i=1}^{\nu+2}\|f\|^{\theta_i}_{W^{s+\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}}\|f\|^{1-\theta_i}_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}}$$

where $\theta_i := \frac{\left(j_i-\frac{1}{p_i}\right)-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{s}$ for $i=1,\dots,\nu$ and $\theta_i := \frac{(j_i-\frac{1}{p_i})-\frac{1}{2}}{s}$ for $i=\nu+1,\nu+2$. Thus the assertion of the theorem follows. □

Lemma 3.6 (Estimates for terms containing the energy integrand)**.** *For all $\Lambda<\infty$ there is a $C(\Lambda)<\infty$ such that the following holds:*

Let $\Lambda^{-1}\leq l\leq\Lambda$ and $c\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a curve parameterized by arc length satisfying the bi-Lipschitz estimate

$$|w|\leq\Lambda|c(u+w)-c(u)|\quad\forall u\in\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}, w\in[-l/2,l/2],$$

Then the functions

$$g_\beta:\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\to\mathbb{R},\qquad g_\beta(s):=\int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}}\left(\frac{|w|^\beta}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}}-\frac{|w|^\beta}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}}\right)dw$$

are in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R})$ for $\beta>0$. If furthermore $\mu\leq s+\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $k+1\leq s$, we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\partial_s^k\left\{\int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}}\left(\frac{|w|^\beta}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}}-\frac{|w|^\beta}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}}\right)dw\right\}P_\nu^\mu(c')(s)ds\right| \tag{3.4}$$
$$\leq C\sum_{l=1}^{k}\|c\|^{\theta_l}_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+s,2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)}\|c\|^{l+\nu+2-\theta_l}_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $\theta_l:=(k+(l+2)+\mu+\nu-(l+\nu)\frac{\alpha}{2}-2)/s<(k+\mu)/s$. If $(k+\mu/s\leq 2$, this implies that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a constant $C(\varepsilon,\|c\|_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)})<\infty$ such that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\partial_s^k\left\{\int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}}\left(\frac{|w|^\beta}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}}-\frac{|w|^\beta}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}}\right)dw\right\}P_\nu^\mu(c')(s)ds\right| \tag{3.5}$$
$$\leq\varepsilon\|c\|^2_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+s,2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)}+C(\varepsilon,\|c\|_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)})$$

Proof. For $\frac{l}{2}>\varepsilon>0$ and $I_{l,\varepsilon}=[-\frac{l}{2},\frac{l}{2}]\setminus[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$ we set

$$g_\beta^{(\varepsilon)}(s):=\int_{w\in I_{l,\varepsilon}}h_\beta(s,w)dw$$

and

$$h_\beta(s,w) := \frac{|w|^\beta}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}} - \frac{|w|^\beta}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}$ and $w \in [-l/2, l/2]$, $w \neq 0$. Then due to the bi-Lipschitz estimate for c we have $g_\beta^{(\varepsilon)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\partial_s^k g_\beta^{(\varepsilon)}(s) = \int\limits_{w \in I_{l,\varepsilon}} \partial_w^k h_\beta(s,w) dw.$$

With $G_\beta(v) := \frac{1}{|v|^{\alpha+\beta}} \frac{1-|v|^{\alpha+\beta}}{1-|v|^2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|w|^\beta}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}} - \frac{|w|^\beta}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}} &= G_\beta\left(\frac{c(s+w)-c(s)}{w}\right) \cdot \frac{1 - \frac{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^2}{w^2}}{|w|^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} G_\beta\left(\frac{c(s+w)-c(s)}{w}\right) \cdot \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{|c(s+\tau_1 w) - c(s+\tau_2 w)|^2}{|w|^\alpha} d\tau_1 d\tau_2.\end{aligned}$$

Note that G_β is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^n/\{0\}$. Since for $s \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, $w \in [-l/2, l/2] - \{0\}$ we have $1 \geq |\frac{c(s+w)-c(s)}{w}| \geq \Lambda^{-1}$, and there exist constants $C(k)$ such that

$$\left|(D^k G)\Big(\frac{c(s+w)-c(s)}{w}\Big)\right| \leq C(k) \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, w \in [-l/2, l/2] - \{0\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Using the product rule together with Fáa di Bruno's formula for higher derivatives of composite functions, we conclude that

$$\partial_s^k h_\beta(s,w) = \partial_s^k \left(\frac{|w^\beta|}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}} - \frac{|w^\beta|}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}}\right)$$

is a linear combination of terms $T_{k_1,\dots,k_{l+2}}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}&D^l G\left(\frac{c(s+w)-c(s)}{w}\right)\left(\frac{\partial_s^{k_1} c(s+w) - \partial_s^{k_1} c(s)}{w} \cdots, \frac{\partial_s^{k_l} c(s+w) - \partial_s^{k_l} c(s)}{w}\right) \\ &\times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\left\langle \partial_s^{k_{l+1}+1} c(s+\tau_1 w) - \partial_s^{k_{l+1}+1} c(s+\tau_2 w), \partial_s^{k_{l+2}+1} c(s+\tau_1 w) - \partial_s^{k_{l+2}+1} c(s+\tau_2 w)\right\rangle}{|w|^\alpha} d\tau_1 d\tau_2\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $l, k_1, \dots k_{l+2} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $k_1, \dots k_l \geq 1$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l+2} k_i = k.$$

Using (3.6) and the fundamental theorem of calculus, such terms can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}&C(k) \prod_{i=1}^l \left(\int_0^1 \partial_s^{k_i+1} c(s+\sigma_i w) d\sigma_i\right) \\ &\times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{\left\langle \partial_s^{k_{l+1}+1} c(s+\tau_1 w) - \partial_s^{k_{l+2}+1} c(s+\tau_2 w), \partial_s^{k_{l+2}+1} c(s+\tau_1 w) - \partial_s^{k_{l+2}+1} c(s+\tau_2 w)\right\rangle}{|w|^\alpha} d\tau_1 d\tau_2 \\ &\qquad \overset{\alpha<3}{\leq} \frac{C\|c\|_{C^{k+2}}}{|w|^{\alpha-2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|\partial_s^k h_\beta(s,w)| \leq \frac{C\|c\|_{C^{k+2}}}{|w|^{\alpha-2}}.$$

From this we deduce that for $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial^k g_\beta^{\varepsilon_1}(s) - \partial^k g_\beta^{(\varepsilon_2)}(s)| &\leq \int\limits_{w\in[-\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_1]\setminus[-\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_2]} |\partial_s^i h(s,w)|dw \\ &\leq C\|c\|_{C^{k+2}} \int\limits_{\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \frac{1}{|w|^{\alpha-2}} dw \leq C\|c\|_{C^{k+2}}\varepsilon_1^{3-\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

As $\alpha < 3$, g_β^ε converges smoothly to a smooth representative of g_β and

$$\partial_s^k g_\beta(s) = \int\limits_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \partial_s^k h(s,w)dw = \int\limits_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \partial_s^k \left(\frac{|w^\beta|}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+\beta}} - \frac{|w^\beta|}{|w|^{\alpha+\beta}} \right) dw.$$

Using that $\partial_s^k h(s,w)$ is a linear combination of terms like (3.7) together with Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\left| \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \left(\left(\partial_s^k g_\beta(s)\right) P_\nu^\mu(\kappa)(s) \right) ds \right| \leq C \sum_{l=1}^{k} \|c\|^{\theta_l}_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}+s,2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} \|c\|^{l+\nu+2-\theta_l}_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where $\theta_l := (k+(l+2)+\mu+\nu-(l+\nu)\frac{\alpha}{2}-2)/s < (k+l+\mu+\nu-l-\nu)/s \leq (k+\mu)/s$. This proves inequality (3.4) from which one obtains (3.5) using Cauchy-Schwartz. □

3.4. **Proof of Long Time Existence.** First we will derive the following estimate from the evolution equation of the higher order energies Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.6. For a periodic function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ we use the shorthand

$$D^s f = (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f$$

for the fractional Laplacian.

Lemma 3.7. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are constants $C_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that*

$$\partial_t \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_s^k \kappa_{c_t}(s)|^2 ds + c_\alpha \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |(\tilde{D}_s)^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\kappa| ds \leq \varepsilon \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |(\tilde{D}_s)^{\alpha+1/2}\kappa|^2 ds + C_\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Corollary 3.4 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_s^k \kappa|^2 ds &= 2\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle \partial_s^{k+2} V, \partial_s^k \kappa\rangle ds + 2\int \langle P_2^k(V,\kappa)\tau, \partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\rangle ds \\ &\quad + 2\int \langle P_3^k(V,\kappa), \partial_s^k\kappa\rangle ds - \int |\partial_s^k\kappa|^2 \langle \kappa, V\rangle ds\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where $V = -H^\alpha c + \lambda\kappa$.

Let us now fix the time t and let us re-parameterize c_t for this fixed time by arc length to estimate the right-hand side of this equation and let l denote the length of the curve at time t.

We decompose

$$\tilde{H}^\alpha c = \alpha Q^\alpha c + (\alpha-2)R_1^\alpha c + 2\alpha R_2^\alpha c \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$(Q^\alpha c)(s) := p.v. \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} \left\{ 2\frac{c(s+w)-c(s)-wc'(s)}{|w|^2} - c''(s)) \right\} \frac{dw}{|w|^\alpha},$$

$$(R_1^\alpha c)(s) := p.v. \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} c''(s) \left(\frac{1}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^\alpha} - \frac{1}{|w|^\alpha} \right) dw,$$

$$(R_2^\alpha c)(s) := p.v. \int_{-\frac{l}{2}}^{\frac{l}{2}} (c(s+w)-c(s)-wc'(s)) \left(\frac{1}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+2}} - \frac{1}{|w|^{\alpha+2}} \right) dw.$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus, we rewrite $R_2^\alpha c(s)$ as

$$(R_2^\alpha c)(s) := \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_0^1 \int_{I_{l,\varepsilon}} c''(s+\tau_1 w) w \left(\frac{w^2}{|c(s+w)-c(s)|^{\alpha+2}} - \frac{w^2}{|w|^{\alpha+2}} \right) dw.$$

and set

$$R^\alpha c := (\alpha-2) R_1^\alpha c + 2\alpha R_2^\alpha c.$$

Hence,

$$V = -P_{c'}^\perp (\alpha Q^\alpha c + R^\alpha c) + \lambda \kappa.$$

Now we estimate all the terms appearing in (3.8) except for the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle \partial_s^{k+2} Q^\alpha c, \partial_s^k \kappa \rangle d, s$$

using Hölder's inequality together with the standard Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality or the version in Lemma 3.5. We get that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C_\varepsilon < \infty$ such that all these terms can be estimated from above by

$$\varepsilon \| D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c \|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon).$$

We will only give the details for some exemplary term as the estimates for all the other terms can be estimated following exactly the same line of arguments. We start by estimating the terms containing the remainder R^α. For the first term in Equation (3.8) we get using Lemma 3.5

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \partial_s^{k+2} (P_{c'}^\perp R^\alpha(c)), \partial_s^k \kappa \right\rangle ds \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \partial_s^{k+1} (P_{c'}^\perp R^\alpha(c)), \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \right\rangle ds \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \partial_s^{k+1} (R^\alpha(c)), \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \right\rangle ds \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \partial_s^{k+1} \left(\langle R^\alpha(c), c' \rangle c' \right), \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \right\rangle ds \right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon \| D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c \|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

since $(2k+2+2)/(k+2) = 2$. Similarly we get

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \langle P_2^k (P_{c'}^\perp R^\alpha c, \kappa) \tau, \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \rangle ds \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \langle P_2^k (R^\alpha c, \kappa) \tau, \partial_s^{k+1} \kappa \rangle ds \right|$$

$$+\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\langle P_2^k(\langle R^\alpha c,c'\rangle\, c',\kappa)c',\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\rangle ds\right|$$
$$\leq \varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon)$$

since $(k+1+1+k+2)/(k+2)=2$. Along the same lines we get

$$\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\langle P_3^k(P_{c'}^\perp R^\alpha c,\partial_s^k\kappa)\rangle ds\right|+\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}|\partial_s^k\kappa|^2\langle\kappa,P^\perp R^\alpha c\rangle\right|\leq\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon)$$

To estimate the terms containing Q^α, we will use the fact that $Q^\alpha=c_\alpha D^{\alpha+1}+\tilde{R}$ where $\tilde{R}$ is a bounded operator from $W^{s+2,p}$ to $W^{s,p}$. For $k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{N}_0$ with $k_1+k_2=k$ we estimate using Hölder's inequality and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\langle(\partial_s^{k_1}Q^\alpha c*\partial_s^{k_2}\kappa)\tau,\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\rangle ds\right|&\leq\|Q^\alpha\partial_s^{k_1}c\|_{L^2}\|\partial_s^{k_2}\|_{L^4}\|\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\|_{L^4}\\&\leq\|\partial_s^{k_1}c\|_{W^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}}\|\partial_s^{k_2}\|_{L^4}\|\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\|_{L^4}\\&\leq\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain, using the Leibniz rule,

$$\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\langle(\partial_s^{k_1}P^TQ^\alpha c*\partial_s^{k_2}\kappa)\tau,\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\rangle ds\leq\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon).\right.$$

Hence,

$$\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\langle P_2^k(\partial_s^{k_1}P^TQ^\alpha c,\partial_s^{k_2}\kappa)\tau,\partial_s^{k+1}\kappa\rangle ds\leq\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon).\right.$$

Similarly, one gets

$$\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\langle P_3^k(P_{c'}^\perp Q^\alpha c,\partial_s^k\kappa)\rangle ds\right|+\left|\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}|\partial_s^k\kappa|^2\langle\kappa,P^\perp Q^\alpha c\rangle\right|\leq\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon).$$

For the terms containing $\lambda\kappa$ we use Hölder's inequality and standard Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev estimates together with Cauchy's inequality as above, to estimate these terms by

$$\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon).$$

Let us finally turn to the term

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}\langle\partial_s^{k+2}Q^\alpha c,\partial_s^k\kappa\rangle ds=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}\langle\partial_s^{k+2}D^{\alpha+1}c,\partial_s^k\kappa\rangle ds+\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}\langle\partial_s^{k+2}\tilde{R}c,\partial_s^k\kappa\rangle ds$$

that contains the highest order part. Again Hölder's inequality together with interpolation estimates yields

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}\langle\partial_s^{k+2}\tilde{R}c,\partial_s^k\kappa\rangle ds\leq\varepsilon\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}c\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon).$$

Furthermore,

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\left\langle\partial_s^{k+2}(P_{c'}^\perp D^{\alpha+1}c),\partial_s^k\kappa\right\rangle ds=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}}\left\langle\partial_s^{k+2}(D^{\alpha+1}c),\partial_s^k\kappa\right\rangle ds$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \partial_s^{k+2}(\left\langle D^{\alpha+1}c, c'\right\rangle c'), \partial_s^k \kappa \right\rangle ds \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} |D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c|^2 ds \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \left\langle D^{k+\frac{3-\alpha}{2}}(\left\langle D^{\alpha-1}c'', c'\right\rangle c'), D^{k+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\kappa \right\rangle ds
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the commutator estimate (Theorem D.2), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| \left\langle D^{\alpha-1}c'', c'\right\rangle \|_{W^{k+\frac{3-\alpha}{2},2}} &= \|D^{\alpha-1}\left\langle c'', c'\right\rangle - \left\langle D^{\alpha-1}c'', c'\right\rangle \|_{W^{k+\frac{3-\alpha}{2},2}} \\
&\le C(\|c'\|_{W^{1,\infty}} \|c''\|_{W^{k-1+\alpha+1/2}} + \|c'\|_{W^{k+\frac{\alpha+1}{2},2}} \|c''\|_{L^\infty}) \\
&\le \varepsilon \|D^{k+2\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c\|_{L^2} + C(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle \partial_s^{k+2} Q^\alpha c, \partial_s^k \kappa \rangle ds \le -c_\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}/l\mathbb{Z}} |D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c|^2 ds - \varepsilon \|D^{k+2\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon)
$$

Summing up these estimates proves Lemma 3.7. □

A standard argument now concludes the proof of Theorem 3.1:

Proof Theorem 3.1. Let us assume that $[0, T)$ is the maximal interval of existence and $T < \infty$. If we apply Lemma 3.7 with $\varepsilon = \frac{c_\alpha}{2}$ we get

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^k + \frac{c_\alpha}{2} \|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c\|_{L^2}^2 \le C_k.
$$

Together with the Poincare inequality

$$
\mathcal{E}^k \le C(\|D^{k+2+\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} c\|_{L^2}^2),
$$

this yields

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^k + \mathcal{E}^k \le C_k.
$$

Hence,

$$
\sup_{t\in[0,T)} \|\partial_s^k \kappa\|_{L^2} < \infty
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore,

$$
\partial_t |c'| = \langle V, \kappa \rangle |c'|
$$

and hence there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$
C^{-1} \le |c'| \le C.
$$

Thus, there is a subsequence $t_i \to T$ such that c_{t_i} converges smoothly to a $\tilde{c}(T)$ and we can use the short time existence result Theorem 2.1 to extend the flow beyond T.

Let us finally prove the subconvergence to a critical point. From Lemma 3.7 we get

$$
\partial_t \|\partial_s^k \kappa\|_{L^2} + c\|\partial_s^k \kappa\| \le C(k).
$$

But this implies

$$
\|\partial_s^k \kappa\|_{L^2} \le C(k) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Hence, there is a subsequence $t_i \to \infty$ that c_{t_i}, after re-parameterization by arc length and suitable translations, converges to a smooth curve c_∞ parameterized by arc length. Since

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_t c_t|^2 ds \right) dt \leq E(c_0) < \infty,$$

we can furthermore choose this subsequence such that c_∞ is a critical point of $E = E^\alpha + \lambda L$. □

4. Asymptotics of the Flows

4.1. **Łojasiewicz-Simon Gradient Estimate.** In order to prove convergence to critical points of the complete flow without taking care of translations, we will prove a Lojawiewicz-Simon Gradient estimate.

Theorem 4.1 (Łojasiewicz-Simon Gradient Estimate)**.** *Let c_M be a smooth critical point of $E := E^\alpha + \lambda L$ for some $\alpha \in (2,3)$. Then there are constants $\theta \in [0,1/2]$, $\sigma, c > 0$, such that every $c \in H^{\alpha+1}_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|c - c_M\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \leq \sigma$ satisfies*

$$|E(c) - E(c_M)|^{1-\theta} \leq c \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |(Vc)(x)|^2 |c'(x)| dx \right)^{1/2},$$

where $Vc = -H^\alpha c + \lambda \kappa_c$.

Proof. After scaling the curve we can assume that c_M is parameterized by arc length and that the length of the curve c_M is 1.

Let $H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}$ denote the space of all vector fields $N \in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ which are orthogonal to c'_M.

We will first prove that there are constants $\theta \in [0,1/2]$, $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{c} > 0$ such that

$$|E(c_M + N) - E(c_M)|^{1-\theta} \leq c \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |V(c_M + N)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\phi \in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$ with $\|\phi\|_{H^{\alpha+1}} \leq \tilde{\sigma}$. That is, we show that the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} : H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M} &\to \mathbb{R} \\ N &\mapsto E^\alpha(c_M + N) + \lambda L(c_M + N) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies a Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient estimate. By [Chi03, Corollary 3.11] is suffices to show that E' is analytic with values in L^2 and that E'' is a Fredholm operator from $H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}$.

It is easy to see that κ defines an analytic operator on a neighborhood of 0 from $(H^{\alpha+1})^\perp_{c_M}$ to $(L^2)^\perp_{c_M}$ using the fact that $H^{\alpha+1}$ is embedded in C^2. That the same is true for $\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ can be seen from Lemma 2.3, using the fact that $H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is embedded in $C^{\alpha+\beta}$ for every $0 < \beta < 1/2$ and C^β is embedded in L^2.

We calculate the second variation of $\tilde{E}$ at 0 and try to write it as a compact perturbation of a Fredholm operator of index 0. Using $|c'_M| = 1$ and the fact that V is the gradient of $E^\alpha + \lambda L$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{E}''(0)(h_1, h_2) \\ &= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle V(c_M + t h_1), h_2 \rangle \, |c'_M + t h'_1| dw - \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle V(c_M), h_2 \rangle \, |c'_M| dw}{t} \\ &= \langle \nabla_{h_1} V c_M, h_2 \rangle_{L^2} + \langle L_1 h_1, h_2 \rangle_{L^2} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $L_1 h_1 = Hc_M \cdot \langle c'_M, h'_1 \rangle$ is a differential operator of order 1 in h_1.

We know from Theorem 2.3 that

$$Vc = H^\alpha c + \lambda \kappa_c = \frac{2}{|c'|^3} P^\perp_{c'_M}(Q^\alpha c_M) + F(c_M)$$

where $F \in C^\omega(C^{\alpha+\beta}, C^\beta)$ for all $\beta > 0$. Thus

$$P^\perp_{c'_M}(\nabla_h H(c'_M)) = \frac{2}{|c'|^3}(P^\perp_{c'_M}(Q^\alpha h) + L_2(h)) \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(h) &= -\frac{6}{|c'_M|^5}\langle c'_M, h'\rangle P^\perp_{c'_M}(Q^\alpha c_M) + P^\perp_{c'_M}\left(\nabla_h F(c_M) + (\nabla_h P^\perp_{c'_M})(Q^\alpha c_M)\right) \\ &\in C^\omega(C^{\alpha+\beta}, C^\beta) \quad \forall \beta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now let ν_i, $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)$, be smooth functions such that $\nu_1(u), \dots, \nu_{n-1}(u)$ is an orthonormal basis of the normal space on c at u. Then each $\phi \in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$ can be written in the form

$$\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i \nu_i,$$

where $\phi_i := \langle \phi, \nu_i \rangle \in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. We calculate

(4.4)

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp_{c'_M}(Q^\alpha \phi) = P^\perp_{c'_M}\left(Q^\alpha\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\phi_i\nu_i\right)\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(Q^\alpha\phi_i)\,\nu_i + P^\perp_{c'_M}(Q^\alpha(\phi_i\nu_i) - (Q^\alpha\phi_i)\nu_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(Q^\alpha\phi_i)\,\nu_i + L_3(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

where $L_3 \in C^\omega(C^{\alpha+\beta}, C^\beta)$ by the fractional Leibniz rule Lemma C.1.

From [Rei12, Proposition 2.3] we know that there is a constant $a^{(\alpha)} > 0$ such that that $L_4 := Q^\alpha - a^\alpha(-\Delta)^{(\alpha+1)/2}$ is a bounded linear operator from H^2 to L^2. Combining (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4), we get

$$E''(h_1, h_2) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a^\alpha \left((-\Delta^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}})\,\langle h_1, \nu_i\rangle\right)\nu_i + Lh_1, h_2 \right\rangle \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$L := L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4$$

is a bounded operator from $C^{\alpha+\varepsilon}$ to L^2 for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since the linear mapping

$$h_1 \to \begin{pmatrix} \langle h_1, \nu_1\rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle h_1, \nu_{n-1}\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

defines an homeomorphism between $H^s(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$ and $H^s(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $(-\Delta)^{(\alpha+1)/2}$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero from $H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, the operator

$$\begin{aligned} A : H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M} &\to L^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M} \\ \phi &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left((-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}}\,\langle\phi, \nu_i\rangle\right)\nu_i \end{aligned}$$

is Fredholm of order 0. Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a^\alpha(-\Delta^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}})h_1 + Lh_1$ as a compact perturbation of A is a Fredholm operator as well. This implies that E'' is a Fredholm

operator from $H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}$ of index 0. The proof of (4.1) is complete.

To prove the full estimate of Theorem 4.1, we use Lemma E.1 to write curves close to c_M as normal graphs over c_M. More precisely, we can choose $0<\sigma<\tilde\sigma$ such that for all $c\in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ with $\|c-c_M\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}\le\sigma$ there is a re-parameterization $\psi\in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and a $\phi\in H^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$ such that

$$c\circ\psi = c_M + N_c$$

and

$$\|N_c\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}\le C\cdot\|c-c_M\|_{H^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Making $\sigma>0$ smaller if necessary, using that c_M is parameterized by arc length and that $H^{\alpha+1}$ is embedded continuously in C^1, we furthermore can achieve that

$$|c'|>|c'_M|-|c'_M-c|\ge\frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}(E(c)-E(c_M))^{1-\theta} &= (E(c_M+N_c)-E(c_M))^{1-\theta}\\ &\le c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}|V(c_M+N_c)(x)|^2dx\right)^{1/2}\\ &\le c\sqrt{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}}|V(c_M+N_c)(x)|^2|c'(x)|dx\right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. **The Flow Above Critical Points.** In this section we apply the Łojasiewich-Simon gradient estimate to reprove long-time existence for solutions that approach a critical point from above as stated in Theorem 1.2. Using the techniques from this section, we will show that even the complete flow converges to a critical point without applying any translations.

Theorem 1.2 will follow easily from the following long time existence result for normal graphs over a critical point of the energies $E_\lambda = H^\alpha+\lambda L$:

Theorem 4.2 (Long time existence and asymptotics for normal graphs)**.** *Let $c_M\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a critical point of E_λ and let $k\in\mathbb{N}$, $\delta>0$ and $\beta>\alpha$. Then there is an open neighborhood U' of 0 in $\left(C^\beta\right)^\perp$ such that the following holds:*

Suppose that $N\in C([0,T),h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M})\cap C([0,T),C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M})$ is a maximal solution of the equation

$$\partial_t^\perp(c_M+N_t) = -H^\alpha(c_M+N_t)+\lambda\kappa$$

with

$$N_0\in U'$$

and

$$E(c_t)\ge E(c_M)$$

whenever $\|N(t)\|_{C^k}\le\delta$.

Then $T=\infty$ and N_t converges smoothly to an $N_\infty\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}$ satisfying

$$E(c_M+N_\infty)=E(c_M).$$

Furthermore c_M+N_∞ is a critical point of the energy.

Proof. Let $\tilde{k} = \max\{4, k\}$. Then of course we still have

$$E(c_t) \geq E(c_M)$$

under the stronger condition $\|N(t)\|_{C^{\tilde{k}}} \leq \delta$.

It is crucial to use the smoothing properties of our short time existence result Theorem 2.5. This theorem tells us that there is an $\delta_1 > 0$ and a time $T > 0$ such that the solution to

$$\partial_t^\perp (c_M + N_t) = H(c_M + N_t) + \lambda \kappa_{c_M + N_t}$$

with initial data $N_0 \in U = \{N \in C^\beta : \|N\|_{C^\beta} \leq \delta_1\}$ exists for $t \in [0, T)$ and satisfies

$$\|N_t\|_{C^{\tilde{k}}} \leq \delta$$

for all $t \in [\frac{T}{2}, T]$. Furthermore, we know that the flow can be continued at least up to the time T as long as $\|N_t\|_{C^\beta} \leq \delta_1$.

Making δ_1 smaller if necessary, we can achieve that the Łojasiewich-Simon gradient estimate holds for all $N \in U$ and that both

$$\|P^\perp_{c'_M + N'} - P^\perp_{c'_M}\| \leq 1/2 \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$|c'_M + N'| \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf |c'_M| > 0. \tag{4.7}$$

The smoothing properties imply that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can also get

$$\|N_t\|_{C^\beta} \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall t \in [\frac{T}{2}, T)$$

if the initial data belongs to a suitable smaller neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of 0. Assuming that $\varepsilon < \delta$ we can achieve $N_t \in U$ for all $N_0 \in U'$ and $t \in [\frac{T}{2}, T]$.

Now let $N \in C([0, T_{max}), h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M}) \cap C((0, T_{max}), C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)^\perp_{c_M})$ be a maximal solution of the equation

$$\partial_t^\perp (c_M + N_t) = H(c_M + N_t)$$

with $N_0 \in U'$ as in the theorem.

We know from the considerations above that $T_{max} \geq T$ and

$$\|N_{\frac{T}{2}}\|_{C^\beta} < \delta_1.$$

If the solution does not exist for all time, there hence is a $t_0 > \frac{T}{2}$ such that

$$\|N_t\|_{C^\beta} \leq \delta, \quad \forall t \in [\frac{T}{2}, t_0).$$

but

$$\|N_{t_0}\|_{C^\beta} = \delta.$$

Then we get

$$\|N_t\|_{C^5} \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [\frac{T}{2}, t_0],$$

and N_t satisfies a Łojasiewich-Simon gradient estimate and (4.6) and (4.7) for all $t \in [\frac{T}{2}, t_0]$.

We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}E(\tilde{c}_t) &= -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \langle \partial_t^{\perp}\tilde{c}_t, V(\tilde{c}_t)\rangle |\tilde{c}_t'| = \\ &= -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_t^{\perp}\tilde{c}_t|^2 |\tilde{c}_t'| \\ &= -\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |V\tilde{c}_t|^2 |\tilde{c}_t'|\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{d}{dt}\left(E(\tilde{c}_t)-E(\tilde{c}_M)\right)^{\theta} &= -\theta\left(E(\tilde{c}_t)-E(c_M)\right)^{\theta-1}\frac{d}{dt}E(\tilde{c}_t) \\ &\geq \frac{\theta}{\sigma}\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_t^{\perp}\tilde{c}_t|^2|\tilde{c}_t'|\right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq c\left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_t\tilde{c}_t|^2\right)^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality over $(\frac{T}{2}, t)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{c}_t - c_M\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - \tilde{c}_M\|_{L^2} + \|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - \tilde{c}_t\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - \tilde{c}_M\|_{L^2} + \int\limits_0^1 \left(\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\partial_\tau c|^2 ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\ &\leq \|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - \tilde{c}_M\|_{L^2} + C\left(E(\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}}) - E(c_M)\right)^{\theta} \\ &\leq \|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - \tilde{c}_M\|_{L^2} + C\left(E(\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}}) - E(c_M)\right)^{\theta} \\ &\leq C\|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - c_M\|_{C^\beta}^{\theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the interpolation inequality

$$\|f\|_{C^\beta} \leq \|f\|_{C^{\tilde{k}}}^{(1-\sigma)}\|f\|_{L^2}^{\sigma}$$

where $\sigma = \frac{\tilde{k}-2-\beta}{\tilde{k}+\frac{1}{2}}$, we get for $t \in [\frac{T}{2}, t_0]$

(4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{c}_t - c_M\|_{C^{2+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C\|\tilde{c}_t - c_M\|_{C^{\tilde{k}}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\sigma}\|\tilde{c}_t - c_M\|_{L^2}^{\sigma} \leq C\|\tilde{c}_{\frac{T}{2}} - c_M\|_{C^\beta}^{\theta\sigma} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{\theta\sigma}\end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.

So if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough we have

$$\|N(t)\|_{C^\beta} < \frac{\delta}{4}$$

as long as the flow exists. As this contradicts our choice of t_0, we have shown that the flow exists for all time.

From Theorem 2.5 we get $\sup_{t\geq\frac{T}{2}}\|\tilde{c}_t\|_{C^l} < \infty$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence there is a subsequence $t_i \to \infty$ such that

$$c_{t_i} \to c_\infty$$

smoothly. Since

$$\partial_t \tilde{c} \in L^1([0,\infty), L^2)$$

we deduce that $\delta E(c_\infty) = 0$. Using the Łojasievicz-Simon gradient inequality again we get

$$(E(c_\infty) - E(c_M))^{1-\theta} \leq c \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |Hc_\infty|^2 |c_\infty'| \right)^{1/2} = 0$$

and hence $E(c_\infty) = E(c_M)$.

To get convergence of the complete flow, we repeat the estimates above with c_∞ in place of c_M to get in view of (4.8) that

$$\|\tilde{c}_t - c_\infty\|_{C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\tilde{c}_{t_i} - c_\infty\|_{C^\beta}^{\theta\sigma}$$

for all $t \geq t_i$. So the complete flow converges in C^β and hence by interpolation in C^∞ to c_∞ □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Due to Lemma E.1 for all $c \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|c - c_M\|_{C^\beta} \leq \varepsilon$ there is a diffeomorphism ϕ_c and a vector field $N_c \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ normal to c_M such that

$$c \circ \phi_c = c_M + N_c \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\|N_c\|_{C^\beta} \leq C \|c - c_M\|_{C^\beta} \tag{4.10}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.

For $c \in C^{2+\alpha}$ with

$$\|c - c_M\|_{C^{2+\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$$

let $(N_t)_{t\in[0,\tilde{T})}$ be the maximal solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\perp (c_M + N_t) = H^\alpha (c_M + N_t) + \lambda \kappa_{c_M + N_t} \\ N_0 = N_c. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{T} \leq T$ and for all $t \in [0, \tilde{T})$ there are diffeomorphisms ϕ_t such that $c_t = (c_M + N_t)(\phi_t)$. Hence N_t satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 if ε is small enough and thus $\infty = \tilde{T}$. Form $\tilde{T} \leq T$ we deduce $T = \infty$. □

4.3. **Completion of the Proof of Theorem 1.1.** It is only left to show that we get converges of the flow without applying translations from the smooth subconvergence of the re-parameterized and translated curves we get from Subsections 3.4.

Let $\tilde{c}_t$ be the re-parameterizations of c_t and let $t_i \to \infty$ and $p_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that the curves $\tilde{c}(t_i) - p_i$ converge smoothly to a curve $\tilde{c}_\infty$ parameterized by arc length. Due to the smooth convergence, the data $\tilde{c}_{t_i} - p_i$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 for i large enough. Hence, the statement follows.

Appendix A. Analytic Functions on Banach Spaces

We briefly prove some lemmata about analytic functions on Banach spaces. A thorough discussion of this subject can be found in [HP57, Chapter 2, Section 3].

Definition A.1 (Analytic operator)**.** Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be real Banach spaces. A function $f \in C^\infty(A, Y)$, $A \subset X$ open, is called real analytic if for every $a \in A$ there is a open neighborhood U of a in X and a constant $C < \infty$ such that

$$\|D^m f(x)\| \leq C^m m! \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in U.$$

In this context $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm. The next lemmata show how to construct analytic functions:

Lemma A.2. *Let $g : U \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a real analytic function, $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset, and let $V \subset C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be an open subset such that $\operatorname{im} f \subset U$ for all $f \in V$. Then*

$$T : V \to C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^l)$$
$$x \to g \circ x$$

defines a real analytic function.

Proof. Let $f_0 \in V$. Since $\operatorname{im} f_0$ is a compact subset of the open set U there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $K_\varepsilon := \bigcup_{y \in \operatorname{im} f_0} \overline{B_\varepsilon(y)} \subset U$.

Since g is real analytic and $K_\varepsilon \subset U$ is compact, there is a constant $C \leq \infty$ such that

$$\|D^m g(y)\| \leq C^m m!, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N},\, y \in K_\varepsilon.$$

As

$$D^m T(y)(h_1, \ldots, h_m) = D^m g(y)(h_1, \ldots, h_m)$$

(can easily be deduced from the Taylor expansion of g) and since $C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a Banach algebra, we get

$$\|D^m T(f)\| \leq (k+1) C^{m+k+1} (m+k+1)! \leq \tilde{C}^m m!$$

for all $f \in B_\varepsilon(f_0) = \{y \in C^\alpha : \|y\|_{C^\alpha} \leq \varepsilon\}$ where

$$\tilde{C} := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left(\frac{(k+1) C^{m+k+1} (m+k+1!)}{m!} \right)^{1/m}$$

. □

Lemma A.3. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be Banach spaces and assume that $T_t \in C^\omega(X, Y)$ for $t \in I$ is such that the functions $t \to T_t$ are measurable and for all $a \in X$ there is a neighborhood U of a in X such that*

$$\int_I \left(\sup_{y \in U} \|D^m T_t(y)\| \right) dt \leq C^m m!. \tag{A.1}$$

Then the mapping $T : X \to Y$ defined by

$$Tx = \int_I T_t x dt$$

is real analytic.

Proof. We want to show that

$$D^m T x(h_1, \ldots, h_m) = \int_I D^m T_t x(h_1, \ldots, h_m) dt.$$

from which we get that $T \in C^\omega(X, Y)$ using the estimates (A.1). In fact this follows from well-known facts about differentiation of parameter dependent integrals. □

Remark A.4. In the case that $Y = C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ it is well known, that

$$(Tx)(u) = \int_I (T_t) x(u) dt,$$

i.e., the value of function Tx given by the Bochner integral at the point u is equal to the Lebesque integral of the functions $T_t(u)$ evaluated at the point u.

Appendix B. Estimates for a generalization of the Multilinear Hilbert transform

Lemma B.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. For $1-\alpha \geq \beta > 0$, $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t_i \in (0,1)$ the singular integral*

$$T(c_1,\dots c_m)(u) := p.v. \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{w|w|^\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u+t_i w)dw$$

defines a bounded multilinear operator from $C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R})$ to $C^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For $u,v \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in (0,\frac{1}{2})$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|T(c_1,\dots,c_m)(u) - T(c_1,\dots,c_m)(v)\big| \\
&\qquad \leq \int_{1/2\geq|w|\geq a} \frac{1}{w|w|^\alpha} \left|\prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u+t_i w) - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(v+t_i w)\right| dw \\
&\qquad\quad + \int_{a\geq|w|} \frac{1}{w|w|^\alpha} \left|\prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u+t_i w) - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u)\right| dw \\
&\qquad\quad + \int_{a\geq|w|} \frac{1}{w|w|^\alpha} \left|\prod_{i=1}^m c_i(v+t_i w) - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(v)\right| dw
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|t_i| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\left|\prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u+t_i w) - \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u)\right| \leq m \prod_{i=0}^m \|c_i\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} |w|^{\alpha+\beta}$$

and hence, using the Hölder-continuity of the c_i,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|T(c_1,\dots,c_m)(u) - T(c_1,\dots,c_m)(v)\big| \\
&\quad \leq m \prod_{i=0}^m \|c_i\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\int_{1\geq|w|\geq a} \frac{1}{|w|^{1+\alpha}} |u-v|^{\alpha+\beta} dw + 2 \int_{|w|\leq a} \frac{1}{|w|^{1-\beta}} dw \right) \\
&\quad \leq m \prod_{i=0}^m \|c_i\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} a^{-\alpha} |u-v|^{\alpha+\beta} dw + \frac{1}{\beta} a^\beta \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $a = |u-v|$ for $|u-v| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|T(c_1,\dots,c_m)(u) - T(c_1,\dots,c_m)(v)\big| \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq C \prod_{i=0}^m \|c_i\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)} |u-v|^\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma B.2. *For arbitrary $\beta > 0$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $n,m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t_i \in (0,1)$ the singular integral*

$$T(c_1,\dots c_m)(u) := p.v. \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{w|w|^\alpha} \prod_{i=1}^m c_i(u+t_i w)dw$$

defines a bounded multilinear operator from $h^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R})$ to $h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. First, let us note that it is enough to prove the statement for $\beta = \tilde{\beta} + n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1-\alpha \geq \tilde{\beta} > 0$. One then uses real interpolation to prove the full statement. For $n = 0$ the claim is the content of Lemma B.1. So let us assume that the statement holds for $\beta = \tilde{\beta} + n$ as above. Let $(\tau_h f)(x) := f(x+h)$. Using the relation $\tau_h(T(c_1, \dots, c_m)) = T(\tau_h(c_1) \dots \tau_h(c_m))$ and the multilinearity of T, the difference quotient can be written as

$$\frac{\tau_h(T(c_1, \dots, c_m) - T(c_1, \dots, c_m))}{h} = \frac{T(\tau_n(c_1), \dots, \tau_h(c_m)) - T(c_1, \dots, c_m))}{h}$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} T\left(c_1, \dots, (\tau_h(c_i) - c_i)/h, \dots, \tau_h(c_m)\right).$$

Since

$$(\tau_h(c_i) - c_i)/h \xrightarrow{h\to 0} c' \quad \text{in } C^\beta$$
$$(\tau_h(c_i) \xrightarrow{h\to 0} c \quad \text{in } C^\beta$$

and T a bounded linear operator from C^β to $C^{\tilde{\beta}}$, we get

$$\frac{\tau_h(T(c_1, \dots, c_m) - T(c_1, \dots, c_m))}{h} \xrightarrow{h\to 0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} T\left(c_1, \dots, c_i', \dots, c_m\right)$$

in C^β. Hence, T is a bounded multilinear mapping from $C^{\alpha+\beta+1}$ to $C^{\beta+1}$. □

Remark B.3. Let us state a simple extension of Lemma B.2. Given a multilinear form $M : \mathbb{R}^n \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$, $1 > \alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t_i \in (0,1)$ the singular integral

$$T(c_1, \dots c_m)(u) := p.v. \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{w|w|^\alpha} M(c_1(u + t_1 w), \dots, c_m(u + t_m w)))dw$$

defines a bounded multilinear operator from $h^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ to $h^\beta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

This can be deduced by plugging

$$M(c_1(u+t_1 w), \dots, c_m(u+t_m w))) = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m = 1}^{n} M(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{j_m}) \Pi_{i=1}^m \langle c_j(u + t_i w), e_{j_i} \rangle$$

into the definition of T, where $e_1, \dots e_n$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and by applying Lemma B.2 to all the coordinates of the resulting summands.

Appendix C. Facts about the Functional Q^α

In this section we prove a commutator inequality that we use as a substitute for the Leibniz rule for Q^α.

Lemma C.1 (Leibniz rule for Q^α)**.** *For $f, g \in C^{\beta_1+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\beta > 0$ we have*

$$\|Q^\alpha(fg) - Q^\alpha(f)g\|_{C^\beta} \leq C(\alpha, \beta) \|f\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}} \|g\|_{C^{\alpha+1+\beta}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(Q^\alpha(fg) - Q^\alpha(f)g)(u)\\
&= \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \Big\{ 2\frac{f(u+w)g(u+w) - f(u)g(u) - w(f'(u)g(u) - f(u)g'(u))}{w^2}\\
&\quad - (f''(u) + 2g'(u)f'(u) + f(u)g''(u)) \Big\} \frac{dw}{w^\alpha}\\
&\quad - \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \Big\{ 2\frac{f(u+w)g(u) - f(u)g(u) - wf'(u)g(u)}{w^2} - f''(u)g(u) \Big\} \frac{dw}{w^\alpha}\\
&= \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \Big\{ 2\frac{(f(u+w) - f(u))(g(u+w) - g(u))}{w^2} - 2f'(u)g'(u)\\
&\quad + f(u)\big(2\frac{g(u+w) - g(u) - wg'(u)}{w^2} - g''(u)\big) \Big\} \frac{dw}{w^\alpha}\\
&= 2 \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \Big\{ \frac{(f(u+w) - f(u))(g(u+w) - g(u))}{w^2} - f'(u)g'(u) \Big\} \frac{dw}{|w|^\alpha}\\
&\quad + (fQ^\alpha(g))(u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.1}
$$

Taylor expansion yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f(u+w) - f(u)}{w} &= f'(u) + w\int_0^1 (1-t)f''(u+tw)dt\\
\frac{g(u+w) - g(u)}{w} &= g'(u) + w\int_0^1 (1-t)g''(u+tw)dt
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence the first term in the last row of Equation (C.1) can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&2 \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \Big\{ \frac{(f(u+w) - f(u))(g(u+w) - g(u))}{w^2} - f'(u)g'(u) \Big\} \frac{dw}{|w|^\alpha}\\
&\qquad = 2f'(u) \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \frac{\int_0^1 (1-t)g''(u+tw)dt}{w} \frac{dw}{|w|^{\alpha-2}}\\
&\qquad\quad + 2g'(u) \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \frac{\int_0^1 (1-t)f''(u+tw)dt}{w} \frac{dw}{|w|^{\alpha-2}}\\
&\qquad\quad + 2 \int_{[-1/2,1/2]} \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} (1-t)(1-s)g''(u+tw)f''(u+sw)dtds \frac{dw}{|w|^{\alpha-2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is an easy exercise to prove that the last term defines a bounded operator from $C^{2+\beta}$ to C^β for all $\beta > 0$. Using Lemma B.2 to estimate the first two terms, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|Q^\alpha(fg) - Q^\alpha(f)g - gQ^\alpha(f)\|_{C^\beta}\\
&\qquad \le C\big(\|f'\|_{C^\beta}\|g''\|_{C^{\alpha-2+\beta}} + \|g'\|_{C^\beta}\|f''\|_{C^{\alpha-2+\beta}} + \|f''\|_{C^\beta}\|g''\|_{C^\beta}\big)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\|Q^\alpha(fg) - Q^\alpha(f)g - fQ^\alpha(g)\|_{C^\beta} \le \|f\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}}\|g\|_{C^{\alpha+\beta}}.
$$

Together with the fact that Q defines a bounded linear operator from $C^{\alpha+1+\beta}$ to C^β this proves the estimate. □

Appendix D. Interpolation and Commutator Estimates

For the convenience of the reader we present mutliplicative Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities and commutator estimates in fractional Sobolev spaces and Besov space that we used in this text. We use the notation

$$B_q^{s,p}$$

where s denotes the order of differentiation and p the integrability.

Theorem D.1 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev Inequality)**.** *For $p \in [2,\infty)$, $q \in [1,\infty)$ and $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in [0,\infty)$ with $s_1 - 1/2 \leq s_2 - 1/p \leq s_3 - 1/2$ there is a $C = C(s_1,s_2,s_3,p,q) < \infty$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{B_q^{s_2,p}} \leq C\|u\|^\theta_{W^{s_3,2}}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{W^{s_1,2}}$$

for all smooth functions $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ where $\theta := (s_2 - s_1 - 1/p + 1/2)/(s_3 - s_1)$. Especially,

$$\|u\|_{W^{s_2,p}} \leq C\|u\|^\theta_{W^{s_3,2}}\|u\|^{1-\theta}_{W^{s_1,2}}.$$

Proof. Using [Lun09, Proposition 1.20], it is enough to show that the real interpolation space $(W_2^{s_1}, W_2^{s_2})_{\theta,1}$ is continuously embedded in $B_q^{s_2,p}$. From [Tri92, Section 1.6.7], we get $(W^{s_1,2}, W^{s_2,2})_{\theta,1} = B_1^{\tilde{s},2}$ where $\tilde{s} := \theta s_3 + (1-\theta)s_1 \geq s_2$. Since $p \geq 2$, we get $\tilde{s} \geq s_2$. As furthermore $\tilde{s} - 1/2 = s_2 - 1/p$, the Sobolev embedding tells us that

$$B_1^{2,\tilde{s}} \subset B_1^{s,p} \subset B_q^{s,p}$$

and especially

$$B_{\tilde{s}}^{2,1} \subset B_s^{p,1} \subset B_p^{s,p} = W^{s,p}$$

which completes the proof. □

The following commutator estimate is a periodic version of known results on the Euclidean space. We leave the proof to the reader.

Theorem D.2 (Commutator estimates alla Kato-Ponce)**.** *Let $1 < r < \infty$, $1 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ satisfy $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$ and $s > 0$. Then there is a constant $C < \infty$ such that*

$$\|D^s[fg] - fD^s g\|_{L^r} \leq C\left(\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}}\|D^{s-1}g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|D^s f\|_{L^{p_2}}\|g\|_{L^{q_2}}\right)$$

for all smooth functions $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Appendix E. Normal Graphs

The following lemma is used in the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 3.1.

Lemma E.1. *Let $c_0 \in C^\infty_{i,r}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W = C^s(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ or $W = H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ for some $s > 1$. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $c \in W$ with*

$$\|c - c_0\|_W \leq \varepsilon,$$

there is a re-parameterization ϕ and a function $N \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{R}^n)$ normal to c_0 such that

$$c \circ \phi = c_0 + N$$

and

$$\|N\|_W \leq C\|c - c_0\|_W.$$

Proof. Note that in the case $W = H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$, still W is embedded continuously in C^s Let U be an open neighborhood of c_0 such that there is a nearest point retract r_U, i.e. a C^∞ function $r_U : U \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$c \circ r_U \circ c = c$$

and

$$|c(r_U(p)) - p| = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |c(x) - p|.$$

We set

$$\psi_c(x) = r_U(c(x)).$$

Since $\psi_{c_0} = id$ and the space of diffeomorphisms is open in C^s, the function ψ_c is a diffeomorphism for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore, the mapping $c \to \psi_c$ is smooth from $C^s(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $C^s(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ in the case that $W = C^s$ and from $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ in the case that $W = H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$. We set

$$\phi_c = \psi_c^{-1}$$

and

$$N_c(x) = c \circ \psi^{-1} - c_0.$$

The estimate in the lemma now follows from the fact that $c \to N_c$ is a smooth function for W to W in neighborhood of c_0 with $N_{c_0} = 0$. □

References

[ACF+03] Aaron Abrams, Jason Cantarella, Joseph H.G. Fu, Mohammad Ghomi, and Ralph Howard. Circles minimize most knot energies. *Topology*, 42(2):381 – 394, 2003.

[AF03] Robert A. Adams and John J. F. Fournier. *Sobolev spaces*, volume 140 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam)*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, second edition, 2003.

[Ama93] Herbert Amann. Nonhomogeneous linear and quasilinear elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. In *Function spaces, differential operators and nonlinear analysis (Friedrichroda, 1992)*, volume 133 of *Teubner-Texte Math.*, pages 9–126. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1993.

[Ang90] Sigurd B. Angenent. Nonlinear analytic semiflows. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 115(1-2):91–107, 1990.

[Bla12a] Simon Blatt. Boundedness and regularizing effects of O'Hara's knot energies. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 21(1):1–9, 2012.

[Bla12b] Simon Blatt. The gradient flow of the Möbius energy near local minimizers. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 43(3-4):403–439, 2012.

[BR13] Simon Blatt and Philipp Reiter. Stationary points of O'Hara's knot energies. *Manuscripta Math.*, 140(1-2):29–50, 2013.

[BR15] Simon Blatt and Philipp Reiter. Towards a regularity theory for integral Menger curvature. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 40(1):149–181, 2015.

[BRS15] Simon Blatt, Philipp Reiter, and Armin Schikorra. Harmonic analysis meets critical knots. Critical points of the Möbius energy are smooth. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 2015.

[Bou88] Gérard Bourdaud. Une algèbre maximale d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 13(9):1059–1083, 1988.

[Chi03] Ralph Chill. On the Łojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequality. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 201:572–601, 2003.

[DKS02] Gerhard Dziuk, Ernst Kuwert, and Reiner Schätzle. Evolution of elastic curves in $\mathbb{R}^n$: existence and computation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 33(5):1228–1245 (electronic), 2002.

[DNPV12] Eleonora Di Nezza, Giampiero Palatucci, and Enrico Valdinoci. Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136(5):521–573, 2012.

[FHW94] Michael H. Freedman, Zheng-Xu He, and Zhenghan Wang. Möbius energy of knots and unknots. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 139(1):1–50, 1994.

[GT01] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.

[He99] Zhengxu He. A formula for the non-integer powers of the Laplacian. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 15(1):21–24, 1999.

[He00] Zheng-Xu He. The Euler-Lagrange equation and heat flow for the Möbius energy. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 53(4):399–431, 2000.

[HP57] Einar Hille and Ralph S. Phillips. *Functional analysis and Semi-Groups*. American Mathematical Society, 1957.

[LS10] Chun-Chi Lin and Hartmut R. Schwetlick. On a flow to untangle elastic knots. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 39(3-4):621–647, 2010.

[Lun95] Alessandra Lunardi. *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 16. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.

[Lun09] Alessandra Lunardi. *Interpolation theory*. Appunti. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (Nuova Serie). [Lecture Notes. Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa (New Series)]. Edizioni della Normale, Pisa, second edition, 2009.

[O'H92] Jun O'Hara. Energy functionals of knots. In *Topology Hawaii (Honolulu, HI, 1990)*, pages 201–214. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1992.

[Rei12] Philipp Reiter. Repulsive knot energies and pseudodifferential calculus for O'Hara's knot energy family $E^{(\alpha)}, \alpha \in [2, 3)$. *Math. Nachr.*, 285(7):889–913, 2012.

[Tri83] Hans Triebel. *Theory of function spaces*, volume 78 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.

[Tri92] Hans Triebel. *Theory of function spaces. II*, volume 84 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.

(Simon Blatt) Paris Lodron Universität Salzburg, Hellbrunner Strasse 34, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

E-mail address: simon.blatt@sbg.ac.at